Quantitative *in silico* analysis of selective enzyme reaction of mammalian D-amino acid oxidase and acidic D-amino acid oxidase mutants
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**ABSTRACT**
The mammalian D-amino acid oxidase (DAO) and D-aspartate oxidase (DDO) are presumed to regulate the levels of several endogenous and exogenous D-amino acids in various organs; however, their physiological roles *in vivo* remain to be fully clarified. The oxidation reactivity of DAO and DDO was quantitatively analyzed *in silico*.

The stereo structure of mammalian DAO was constructed *in silico* from pig kidney DAO (PDB ID: 1VE9) and optimized using the MM2 calculation, then, atomic partial charge (apc) of key atoms was calculated using a MOPAC-PM5 program, and the specific activity (U mg⁻¹ protein) for several substrate amino acids was correlated with the change of apc values (Δapc) of α-carbon (αC) of the amino acids. The feasibility of the constructed mammalian DAO was analyzed by the comparison with four downloaded mammalian DAOs. Furthermore, the stereo structures of mouse DDO was constructed *in silico* from pig DAO and optimized using MM2 calculation, and apc of key atoms was calculated using MOPAC-PM5 program, and then correlated with the oxidation reactivity. Likewise, the DDO mutants carrying a substitution of alanine, glycine, or tyrosine for the active site residue serine-308 (S308A, S308G, and S308Y mutant, respectively) and a double-point substitution of glycine and tyrosine for serine-308 and glycine-309, respectively (S308G-G309Y mutant), were also analyzed.

Correlation coefficient between the specific activity (U mg⁻¹ protein) of the constructed mammalian DAO, downloaded mammalian DAO (PDB ID: 2du8, 3g3e, and 3znn) and apc of αC of substrate was over 0.8 (n = 14). Furthermore, the reactivity (Vmax/Km) of mouse DDO and DDO mutants was correlated with Δapc of αC and αN of D-aspartic acid with r = 0.849 (n = 5) and r = 0.876 (n = 5), and with that of NMDA with r = 0.902 (n = 5) and r = 0.741.
(n = 5), respectively. Conformation analysis of DAO complex with several active site-directed inhibitors did not quantitatively demonstrate the enzyme reactivity. The reactivity can be quantitatively described by electron localization of key atoms. The apo of key atoms can support the oxidation reaction of DAO and DDO. The method described herein may contribute to basic enzymology and application to the development of useful enzyme inhibitors.
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DAO, D-amino acid oxidase; DDO, D-aspartate oxidase; FAD, flavin adenine dinucleotide; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; MM2, molecular mechanics 2; apc, atomic partial charge; au, atomic unit

**INTRODUCTION**
Quantitative analysis of enzyme reactivity is a basic requirement for the development of precise diagnostic methods and drug discovery processes, and reduces the number of animal tests. Designed engineering proteins are preferred for developing effective synthesis methods for industrial uses. The specific affinity for an enzyme reaction can be analyzed in silico, but it is difficult to develop a general rule for the selectivity of the enzyme reaction because of a lack of sufficient data. Developing computational chemical analyses have enabled the structure-based in silico screening of many compounds listed in chemical structure databases. However, the enzyme reactivity has not been quantitatively analyzed.

The oxidation reactivity of D-amino acid oxidase (DAO) was quantitatively analyzed in silico [1-3], because D-amino acids exist in mammal’s tissues, especially in brain [4-6]. DAO is the catabolic enzyme that stereo specifically acts on several neutral and basic D-amino acids (but not on acidic D-amino acids), including free D-serine [7], which plays an important role in regulating brain function by acting as an endogenous ligand for the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor (a subtype of the L-glutamate receptor family) and ε2 glutamate receptor [8-10]. Besides D-serine, free D-aspartic acid has also been studied extensively. Unlike the tissue (brain)-specific expression of D-serine, substantial amounts of D-aspartic acid are present in a wide variety of tissues and cells in mammals, particularly those that make up the central nervous, neuroendocrine, and endocrine systems. Several lines of evidence suggest that D-aspartic acid plays an important role in regulating developmental processes, hormone secretion, and steroidogenesis [11, 12]. Acidic D-amino acids, such as D-aspartic acid, D-glutamic acid, and NMDA are degraded by another D-amino acid-specific enzyme, D-aspartate oxidase (DDO). DAO and DDO are flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-containing flavoproteins that catalyze the oxidative deamination of D-amino acids to generate 2-oxo acids along with hydrogen peroxide and ammonia. The mammalian DAO and DDO are presumed to regulate the levels of several endogenous and exogenous D-amino acids, including D-serine and D-aspartic acid, in various organs [7, 13]; however, their physiological roles in vivo remain to be fully clarified.

The three-dimensional (3D) structures of mammalian and yeast DAOs have been determined [14-17, 17a]. These studies revealed that the driving force of molecular interactions is the Coulombic force between an active site residue arginine guanidyl group of DAO and a carboxyl group of the substrate amino acid. A mutant, M213R of yeast DAO (PDB ID: 1C0P) [18] was prepared to analyze the freshness of food [19]. The stereo structure of sheep DAO was constructed from pig DAO (PDB ID: 1VE9), even the amino acid sequence of sheep DAO differs from that of 1VE9 by 8.5% to analyze the inhibition of the oxidation [20]. The structure of the docked complex of an enzyme, FAD, and a substrate was attempted to be optimized using the molecular mechanics 2 (MM2) calculation of the CAChe program. The tightness of the complex was determined as the energy value difference; however, the molecular interaction energy values were not quantitatively related to the enzyme reactivity. The tightness, but not the reactivity, was affected by the molecular size. Further calculation of the atomic par-
tial charge (apc) using MOPAC-PM5 of CAChe pro-
gram indicated the feasibility of the chemical reactions
[1-3]. This approach was also applied to analyze en-
zyme reactivity of ELIS3-2 aromatic alcohol NADP+ 
oxidoreductase, even the amino acid identity was
33.4% from downloaded cinnamyl alcohol dehydro-
genazse 1PIW [21].

Previously, the role of Ser-308 of mouse DDO 
was investigated through the use of site-specific muta-
tional analysis of this residue [22]. In the report, ala-
nine-, glycine-, and tyrosine-substitution mutants
(S308A, S308G, and S308Y mutants, respectively)
were prepared and their enzyme properties were 
characterized [22]. Here, the stereo structures of these mu-
tants, in addition to a double-point mutant of mouse 
DDO (S308G-G309Y mutant), were constructed in silico 
and optimized using the MM2 calculation. Further-
more, apc of key atoms was calculated using a 
MOPAC-PM5 program, and then the reactivity of 
these mouse DDO mutants was analyzed.

Figure 1. Extracted stereo structures of hDAO; Black 
ball: oxygen, dark gray ball: nitrogen, large gray ball: 
carbon, small gray ball: hydrogen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The stereo structure of human DAO (HDAO) was 
constructed using a stereo structure of pig DAO (PDB 
ID: 1VE9) [18] and a mammalian DAO sequence da-
tum (NP001908) as performed for ELIS3-2 aromatic 
alcohol NADP+ oxidoreductase [21] by systematic 
replacement of amino acids (homology modeling) and 
optimized using MM2 calculation. The mammalian 
DAO indicated an amino acid identity of 85%, and 
shared a similarity of 90% of 340 amino acids [1], and 
the downloaded structure was corrected and optimized 
by a method [23]. Construction of above mutant was a 
little complicated compared to a thermostable mutant 
DDO from porcine kidney [24]; however, it was sim-
pler than constructing ELIS3-2 oxidoreductase [21]. 
An original substrate D-alanine of the DAO was re-
placed to other amino acids by superimposing the car-
boxyl groups, and optimized by the MM2 calculation. 
The conformation of extracted structure is shown in Figure 1. The complexes demonstrated the lowest final 
structure energy values (data are not given) were se-
lected, and the apc of key atoms was calculated using the 
MOPAC-PM5 program. The MOPAC calculation 
has limitation to handle numbers of atoms. Therefore, 
amino acid residues located within 3Å from the sub-
strate were extracted with FAD, then locked the com-
plexes, and calculated the apc. The apc values of α-
carbon (αC) and α-nitrogen (αN) of substrate amino 
acids were corrected and are listed in Table 1 together 
with the specific activity (U mg⁻¹ protein); one unit 
was defined as the production of 1mmol 2-oxo acid 
per min [25]. Furthermore, the feasibility of the con-
structed HDAO was analyzed by the comparison to 
four downloaded mammalian DAOs holding different 
molecular size substrates (PDB ID: 2du8 (benzoic ac-
id), 3g3e (hydroxyquinoline-2(H)), 4qfc (3(4-hydroxy-
6-[2-(7-hydroxy-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2h-chromen-6-yl) 
ethylpyridazin-3(2h)-one]), and 3zn (4H-thieno[3,2-
b]pyrole-5-carboxylic acid) [18].

The stereo structure of mouse DDO was also 
constructed by homology modeling. These new stereo 
structures of DAO and DDO were optimized using the 
MM2 force-field parameters of version 6.1 CAChe 
MM2 (Fujitsu, Japan). The complexes of D-amino 
acid and the mutant were optimized. Amino acid resi-
dues existed within 3Å from D-amino acid were ex-
tracted with FAD, then locked the molecule, and opti-
mized using PM5 program. The stereo structures are 
shown in Figure 2. Differences in the apc of key atoms 
and their relationships with enzyme activities were 
analyzed. Calculations were performed using a 
DCPlx86-based PC with an Intel Core™i7-2600 cpu 
3.40 GHz. The minimum energy level was 10⁻⁷ kcal 
mol⁻¹.
The expression plasmid for N-terminal His-tagged S308G mutant of mouse DDO (pRSET-His-mDASPO-S308G) has been described previously [22]. G309Y substitution was introduced into pRSET-His-mDASPO-308G by site-directed mutagenesis using the QuikChange II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) to generate expression plasmid for the N-terminal His-tagged S308G-G309Y mutant (pRSET-His-mDASPO-S308G-G309Y). The following mutagenic oligonucleotides were used (mutated codons are underlined): 5′-ACG GCC ACG GGG GTT ACG GCA TCT CGT TGC ACT-3′ and 5′-AGT GCA CAG AGA TGC GTG CTT ACG GCC CGT-3′. The mutation was confirmed by sequencing. A 1.1-kb Ncol-HindIII fragment of pRSET-His-mDASPO-S308G-G309Y was subcloned into pET-41a(+) (Novagen, Madison, WI) to generate expression plasmid for S308G-G309Y mutant of mouse DDO fused N-terminally with glutathione S-transferase, His-, and S-tags (pET-GHS-mDASPO-S308G-G309Y). Expression in *Escherichia coli*, purification and enzymatic activity assays of the recombinant protein were carried out, as described previously [22]. *E. coli* BL21(DE3)pLysS cells were transformed with the expression plasmids and cultured at 37°C (with shaking) in Luria-Bertani medium containing 50 mg/mL kanamycin. Crude extracts were prepared from cells transformed with the expression plasmids as described previously [22].

![Figure2](image_url)  
**Figure2.** Extracted stereo structures of DDO mutants optimized using molecular mechanics. A: DDO wild; B: S308A mutant; C: S308G mutant; D: S308G, G309Y mutant; E: S308Y mutant; Black ball: oxygen, dark gray ball: nitrogen, large gray ball: carbon, small gray ball: hydrogen; atomic size of the substrate D-aspartic acid is 20%, and others are 10%.

All recombinant proteins were purified by affinity chromatography using a chelating column. Specifically, crude extracts (prepared as described above) were loaded onto a His GraviTrap column (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp., Piscataway, NJ) equilibrated with 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 0.5 M NaCl and 10 mM imidazole. The column was washed with the same buffer, and bound proteins were eluted using a stepwise gradient of 50–500 mM imidazole. Each fraction (2 mL) containing recombinant protein was mixed with FAD (at a final concentration of 50 mM) and dialyzed for 1 day at 4°C against 1 L of 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate buffer (pH 8.3) containing 2 mM EDTA, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 10% (v/v) glycerol. The buffer was changed once during dialysis. Dialyzed fractions were recovered and centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C to pellet any protein denatured during dialysis. Supernatants (purified enzyme preparations) were stored at -80°C until use.

The protein concentration of purified enzyme preparations was determined using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) with bovine serum albumin as a standard. The oxidase activities of the purified enzymes were determined using a colorimetric method that measures 2-oxo acid production, as described previously [26]. Briefly, appropriate amounts (0.1–5.0 mg) of the purified enzymes were added to a reaction mixture containing 40 mM sodium pyrophosphate buffer (pH 8.3), 50 mM FAD, 33 ng mL⁻¹ catalase from *Aspergillus niger* (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and 20 mM amino acids in a final volume of 150 mL. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C prior to addition of 10 mL of 100% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid to stop the reaction. The 2-oxo acid products were reacted with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine and quantified by measuring the absorbance at 445 nm against a blank mixture lacking amino acids. To determine the Michaelis constant (Kₘ) and maximal velocity (Vₘₐₓ), values for D-aspartic acid and NMDA, these amino acids (at a final concentration of 1–40 mM) were used as substrates and the enzymatic reaction was performed under con
ditions in which the production of 2-oxo acids increased linearly with incubation time and exhibited standard Michaelis-Menten-type properties. The $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values were calculated from Hanes-Woolf plots [27].

Previously, it was demonstrated that the enzyme reactivity ($k_{cat}/K_m$) of M213R mutant of yeast DAO [19] correlated well with apc of αC of substrate amino acids [2]. Therefore, the change of apc values (Δapc) were obtained by subtracting apc values of complexes with the enzyme from those of free amino acids. This approach was applied to study the specific activity of mammalian DAO. In this calculation, the specific activity values for D-glutamine and D-lysine were considered zero (U mg⁻¹ protein).

### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The center of electron transfer and oxidation reaction of amino acids is α-carbon (αC). The calculated apc values of αC of substrate amino acids are corrected and listed in Table 1 together with the specific activity (U mg⁻¹ protein) [25].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Enzyme activity U mg⁻¹ protein</th>
<th>apc of original form</th>
<th>HDAO</th>
<th>3znn</th>
<th>3g3e</th>
<th>2du8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-Asparagine</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>-0.177</td>
<td>-0.185</td>
<td>-0.172</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Glutamine</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-0.255</td>
<td>-0.221</td>
<td>-0.221</td>
<td>-0.225</td>
<td>-0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Alanine</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>-0.249</td>
<td>-0.198</td>
<td>-0.194</td>
<td>-0.201</td>
<td>-0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Phenylalanine</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>-0.256</td>
<td>-0.190</td>
<td>-0.147</td>
<td>-0.180</td>
<td>-0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Methionine</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-0.263</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td>-0.209</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td>-0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Valine</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>-0.257</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td>-0.225</td>
<td>-0.218</td>
<td>-0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Leucine</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>-0.247</td>
<td>-0.198</td>
<td>-0.180</td>
<td>-0.203</td>
<td>-0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Serine</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>-0.260</td>
<td>-0.213</td>
<td>-0.215</td>
<td>-0.217</td>
<td>-0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Threonine</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>-0.259</td>
<td>-0.222</td>
<td>-0.228</td>
<td>-0.223</td>
<td>-0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Tryptophan</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>-0.264</td>
<td>-0.207</td>
<td>-0.208</td>
<td>-0.212</td>
<td>-0.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Cysteine</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.235</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
<td>-0.170</td>
<td>-0.176</td>
<td>-0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Arginine</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.263</td>
<td>-0.231</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td>-0.218</td>
<td>-0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Lysine</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-0.250</td>
<td>-0.222</td>
<td>-0.199</td>
<td>-0.212</td>
<td>-0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Histidine</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>-0.250</td>
<td>-0.219</td>
<td>-0.198</td>
<td>-0.214</td>
<td>-0.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (- D-Cysteine)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HDAO: human DAO as a mutant of pig DAO; 3znn, 3g3e, 2du8: human DAO

For HDAO: U mg⁻¹ protein = -0.28×10⁻²(Δapc) – 3.65×10², r = 0.862 (n = 14),
For 2du8: U mg⁻¹ protein = -0.41×10⁻²(Δapc) – 4.34×10², r = 0.881 (n = 14),
For 3znn: U mg⁻¹ protein = -0.50×10⁻²(Δapc) – 4.03×10², r = 0.863 (n = 14),
For 3g3e: U mg⁻¹ protein = -0.26×10⁻²(Δapc) – 3.92×10², r = 0.758 (n = 14),
The larger the molecular size of a substrate, the easier is the docking (replacement). Therefore a downloaded HDAO (PDB ID: 4qfc) was used as standard structure; however, 4qfc lacks 10 substitute amino acids (Lys-338-to-Ser-340, Gly-299-to-Ser-301, and Pro-219-to-Gly-222); furthermore 6 amino acids that are supposed to be lysine appear as alanine. Therefore, the results used 4qfc were abandoned later. The conformation of D-cysteine and 3g3e complex was doubtful, and the result of D-cysteine was outliner. The correlation coefficient for 3g3e improved to 0.884 (n = 13) without D-cysteine. The correlation coefficient of other DAOs improved a little without D-cysteine. The slight difference in the 3g3e complex stereo structure may be due to the substrate (hydroxyquinoline). Other substrates connect with the guanidyl groups of Arg-283 via their carboxyl group. Therefore, the replacement after superimposed at carboxyl group demonstrated better correlation for their enzyme activity. The results are summarized in Table 1.

The correlation coefficient between the Δapc of αN of D-amino acids and the enzyme activity was poor. The apc values of ionized amino group of some D-amino acids were affected because of contacted Tyr-224 and/or Tyr-228, especially amino group of D-cysteine, D-threonine, and D-serine. The location of Tyr-224 was a little different among these protein structures. The correlation coefficient for HDAO, 3zm, 2du8, and 3g3e was 0.531, 0.465, 0.744, 0.569 (n = 14), respectively. The correlation coefficient improved a little without D-cysteine, and the values were 0.641, 0.436, 0.763, and 0.669 (n = 13), respectively.

Above results demonstrated that enzyme activity can be quantitatively analyzed if the stereo structure of enzyme is available; however, we must carefully analyze the structure whether the structure has the right components and correct the structure of the coenzyme.

Further analysis was carried out for the reactivity of several mutants of mouse DDO [22]. The stereo structure was constructed using a stereo structure of pig DAO (PDB ID: 1KIF [18]) and a mouse DDO sequence datum (NCBI: NM 027442.5). The mouse DDO indicated an amino acid identity of 43%, and shared a similarity of 56% of 189 amino acids. The apc of αC and αN of D-aspartic acid were correlated with the reactivity, \( V_{\text{max}}/K_m \). The \( V_{\text{max}}/K_m \) and apc values are listed in Table 2. The reactivity for NMDA was also analyzed using the same approach, and the results are included in Table 2. The correlation for wild-type DDO reactivity and αC and αN of the substrate are shown as the following equations.

### Table 2. Reactivity of several mutants of mouse DDO and apc of target atoms of D-aspartic acid and NMDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>For D-Aspartic acid</th>
<th>For NMDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( V_{\text{max}}/K_m )</td>
<td>apc αC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S308A</td>
<td>3.146</td>
<td>-0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S308G</td>
<td>3.479</td>
<td>-0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S308S (Wild type)</td>
<td>2.874</td>
<td>-0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S308G-G309Y</td>
<td>3.241</td>
<td>-0.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S308Y</td>
<td>2.606</td>
<td>-0.154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unit: apc (au)

For D-aspartic acid:

\[
\frac{V_{\text{max}}}{K_m} = -42.849 \ \Delta\text{apc of } \alpha\text{C} + 1.472, r = 0.849 \ (n= 5)
\]

\[
\frac{V_{\text{max}}}{K_m} = 32.203 \ \Delta\text{apc of } \alpha\text{N} - 7.903, r = 0.876 \ (n= 5)
\]

For NMDA:

\[
\frac{V_{\text{max}}}{K_m} = -31.245 \ \Delta\text{apc of } \alpha\text{C} + 0.955, r = 0.902 \ (n= 5)
\]

\[
\frac{V_{\text{max}}}{K_m} = 58.833 \ \Delta\text{apc of } \alpha\text{N} - 18.013, r = 0.741 \ (n= 5)
\]
The calculates apc values were related to $V_{max}/K_m$. S308G demonstrated the strong reactivity for both D-aspartic acid and NMDA, and S308Y did the weak reactivity, however, G309Y did not affect the reaction.

Previously, we performed conformation analysis of HDAO complex with several active site-directed inhibitors [28, 29], but this analysis did not quantitatively demonstrate the enzyme reactivity (inhibitory activity). On the other hand, the reactivity can be quantitatively described by electron localization of key atoms as used in organic synthesis chemistry. Here, we demonstrated that the apc of key atoms can support the oxidation reaction of DAO and DDO. G183 is located near phosphate of FAD and is far from the reaction center; however, G183R [30] may affect the conformation of the reaction chamber as FMN did [1]. Further study is necessary to make clear the conformation, as also obtaining useful insight into the biological significant of human DDO based on the biological study for rat and human DDO [31]. The method described herein may contribute to basic enzymology and application to the development of useful enzyme inhibitors.

CONCLUSION

The stereo structures of mutants of DAO and DDO were constructed by homology modeling in silico and optimized using the MM2 calculation. Furthermore, apc of key atoms was calculated using a MOPAC-PM5 program. The oxidation reactivity for amino acids was correlated well with $\Delta$apc of $\alpha$C of substrate D-amino acids. Even conformation analysis of mammalian DAO complex with several active site-directed inhibitors did not quantitatively demonstrate the enzyme reactivity. However, the reactivity can be quantitatively described by electron localization of key atoms as used in teaching organic synthesis chemistry. Above results demonstrated that the apc of key atoms can support the oxidation reaction of DAO and DDO.
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