Journal of Computational Chemistry & Molecular Modeling (ISSN: 2473-6260)

DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY ANALYSIS AND MOLECULAR DOCKING EVALUATION OF 8-CHLOROQUINOLINE 2-CARBALDEHYDE

DOI: 10.25177/JCCMM.4.4.RA.10645

Research

۲

Accepted Date: 15th Feb 2020; Published Date: 20th Feb 2020

Copy rights: © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Sift Desk Journals Group This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

N.Sudha^a, S.Janani^{a^{*}}, G.K.Vanathi Nachiyar^a, T.Kavitha^b

^a Department of Physics, Sri Sarada College for Women (Autonomous), Salem-16, Tamil Nadu,

India.

^b Department of Physics, Government Arts College for Women, Salem-636008, Tamil Nadu, India.

CORRESPONDENCE AUTHOR

S.Janani Email: s.jananiphysics@gmail.com

CITATION

N.Sudha, S.Janani, G.K.Vanathi Nachiyar, T.Kavitha, DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY ANALYSIS AND MOLECULAR DOCKING EVALUATION OF 8-CHLOROQUINOLINE 2 -CARBALDEHYDE (2020) Journal of Computational Chemistry & Molecular Modeling 4(4) pp:463-477

ABSTRACT

DFT/B3LYP method 6-31G (d,p) basis set. The geometrical parameters have been obtained using same basis set. The interpretations of vibrational assignments have been calculated by VEDA program. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts are calculated by GIAO method. The vibrational optical polarization characteristics were studied by VCD spectrum. The electronic and charge transfer properties have been explained on the basis of highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs), lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMOs) and UV absorption spectrum. Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analysis has been performed for analyzing charge delocalization. In addition, Mulliken charges and MEP are performed in the molecule to identify the reactive sites. The values of dipole moment, polarizability and hyperpolarizability have been used to calculate nonlinear activity. The molecular docking studies were performed for the title molecule with different proteins by using AutoDock software.

Keywords: DFT, Vibrational assignments, MEP, HOMO-LUMO, NBO, Molecular docking.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quinoline derivatives have wide applications such as live saving drugs, optical switches in nonlinear optics, sensors in electrochemistry and in the field of inorganic chemistry [1, 2]. Nitrogen and oxygen containing heterocyclic compounds have received considerable attention due to their wide range of pharmacological activity [3]. Quinoline is a heterocyclic aromatic organic compound. It has several anti-malarial derivatives, like quiline, chloroquine, amodiaquine, and primaguine [4]. The current interest in the development of new antimicrobial agents can be partially ascribed both to the increasing emergence of bacterial resistance to antibiotic therapy and to newly emerging pathogens [5, 6]. In synthetic medicinal chemistry the quinoline motif is widely exploited revealing a spectrum of activity covering antimalarial [7], anticancer [8], antifungal, antibacterial, antiprotozoic, antibiotic [9] and anti-HIV [10] effects. Quinoline compounds are known to be effective antimicrobial compounds [11]. 8-chlorquinoline 2-carbaldehyde is an organic compound with formula C10H6ClNO and molecular weight is 191.614 g/mol. These aldehydes have wide range of ligand-protein activity. 8-Chloroquinoline 2- Carbaldehyde a derivative of quinoline [12] is treated for both Parkinson's disease [13] and schizophrenia [14].

Parkinson's disease is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder and the most common movement disorder [15]. Characteristics of Parkinson's disease are progressive loss of muscle control, which leads to trembling of the limbs and head while at rest, stiffness, slowness and impaired balance. As symptoms it may become difficult to walk, talk and complete simple tasks [16].

Schizophrenia is a mental disorder characterized by abnormal behavior, strange speech and a decreased ability to understand reality [17]. Other symptoms include false belief, unclear or confused thinking and reduced social engagement. People with schizophrenia often have additional mental health problems such as anxiety and depression [18]. The 8CQ2C is docked with two different proteins like 2V60 and 4MRW.

The title compound is optimized by DFT/B3LYP method with 6-31 G(d,p) basis set. The molecular

structural parameters like bond length and bond angle, vibrational assignments, HOMO - LUMO and UV analysis are studied. ¹H-NMR, 13C-NMR and VCD spectra are studied. Also, Mulliken, MEP and NBO calculations are studied to identify the reactive sites. In addition hyperpolarizability calculations are found to validate for NLO activity. Molecular docking investigation has been performed to find out the hydrogen bond lengths, binding energy and drug activity of the molecule.

2. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

The computational calculations are carried out by DFT method using Gaussian 09 [19] program and Gauss view visualization software [20]. 8CQ2C molecule has been completely optimized by the DFT/B3LYP method with 6-31 G (d,p) basis set. The vibrational assignments were obtained by using Veda4 software program [21]. The electronic properties such as UV absorption, HOMO (Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital) and LUMO (Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital) energies were calculated using DFT method. In addition, Mulliken charges, the dipole moment and nonlinear optical (NLO) activity, such as the first hyperpolarizability, MEP analysis of the title molecule are computed. The NMR chemical shift H and C were carried out using GIAO method in the combination of DFT/B3LYP method with 6-31 G (d, p) basis set. The molecular docking were obtained by the Autodock Tools version 1.5.6 software package [22] and the docking results are viewed and analyzed using pymol [23], and Discovery studio [24] visualization software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Molecular geometry

The bond parameters (bond length and bond angles) of the 8CQ2C molecules are listed in **Table 1** using DFT/ B3LYP method with 6-31 G (d,p) basis set. The optimized molecular structure was obtained from Gaussian 09 and viewed by Gauss View 5.0 programs. The optimized structure is shown in **Fig 1**. The global minimum energy E=-974.8020 Hartrees. The molecular structure of 8CQ2C belongs to C1 point group symmetry. The title compound having nine C-C, six C-H, one C=O, two C-N and one C-Cl bond lengths and total number of atoms 19. All the bond lengths and angles are in the normal ranges **[25]**.

Bond Length	Theoretical bond Length (Å)	Bond Angle	Theoretical bond angle (deg)
C1-C2	1.372	C2-C1-C6	120.376
C1-C6	1.416	С2-С1-Н7	120.647
C1-H7	1.100	С6-С1-Н7	118.97
C2-C3	1.422	C1-C2-C3	120.509
C2-C115	1.760	C1-C2-Cl15	120.883
C3-C4	1.418	C3-C2-C115	118.606
C3-N16	1.422	C2-C3-C4	119.095
C4-C5	1.421	C2-C3-N16	121.700
C4-C8	1.422	C4-C3-N16	119.203
C5-C6	1.373	C3-C4-C5	119.210
С5-Н9	1.100	C3-C4-C8	119.059
C6-H10	1.100	C5-C4-C8	121.730
C8-C11	1.372	C4-C5-C6	120.455
C8-H13	1.100	C4-C5-H9	118.644
C11-C12	1.416	С6-С5-Н9	120.899
C11-H14	1.100	C1-C6-C5	120.351
C12-N16	1.372	C1-C6-H10	119.002
C12-C17	1.540	С5-С6-Н10	120.645
C17-H18	1.070	C4-C8-C11	120.549
C17-O19	1.430	C4-C8-H13	118.591
		С11-С8-Н13	120.859
		C8-C11-C12	120.366
		C8-C11-H14	120.649
		C12-C11-H14	118.983
		C11-C12-N16	120.323
		C11-C12-C17	118.991
		N16-C12-C17	120.685
		C3-N16-C12	120.496
		С12-С17-Н18	109.471
		C12-C17-O19	109.471
		H18-C17-O19	109.471

 Table 1. Geometrical parameters from DFT/B3LYP method.



Fig 1. Optimized structure of 8CQ2C

The general bond lengths of C=C are obtained from the range 1.371 to 1.394 Å [26]. The aromatic ring C=C bond length C1-C2, C5-C6, C8=C11 falls on the range 1.372 Å which agree with general range. The general values of C-C bond lengths are obtained from 1.339 –1.417 Å [27]. The bond lengths of C1-C6, C3-C4, C2-C3, C4-C5, C4-C8 = 1.421 Å are due to single bond. The general value of C-N bond length is obtained from 1.325 to 1.437 Å. The bond length C12=N16 is obtained from 1.422 Å is due to the attached electronegative atom. The maximum bond length is C2-Cl15=1.76 Å which it is attached to electronegative atom. The general value of C-H fall in the range 0.93 Å. In the present case bond lengths C1-H7, C6-H10 and C17-H18 are increased from the range 1.1 Å. The increasing band length C17-O19 =

1.43 Å is attached with double bond of electronegative atom.

The calculated approximate bond angles of C5-C4-C8, C2-C3-N16 are exactly 121° in the benzene ring. The bond angles C2-C1-C6, C2-C1-C3, C2-C1-H7, C1-C2-C115, C4-C5-C6, C6-C5-H9, C1-C6-C5, C5-C6-H10, C4-C8-C11, C8-C11-H14, N16-C12-N16 and C3-N16-C12 all are same values for 120° respectively. Hence, the homonuclear bond lengths (C1-C2, C5-C6, C1-C6, C3-C4, C2-C3, C4-C5, C4-C8 and C11-C12) are higher than the heteronuclear bond lengths (C12-N12, C1-H7, C6-H10 and C17-H18). The reason is same charges are repulsive and opposite charges are attractive.

3.2. Vibrational Assignments

8CQ2C consists of total number of 19 atoms are existing 51 normal modes of vibrations. These vibrational frequencies are computed by DFT/B3LYP method 6-31G (d, p) basis set. The calculated vibrational frequencies (Unscaled and Scaled), IR intensity, Raman activity are given in **Table 2.** The theoretical spectrum of FT-IR and FT-Raman are shown in **Fig 2.**



Fig 2. Theoretical FT-IR and FT-Raman spectra of 8CQ2C

2000

Wavenumber (cm⁻¹

1500

3000

2500

3500

4000

500

1000

	Calculated frequencies (cm ⁻¹)					
Sl.No	Unscaled frequency	Scaled frequency	IR intensity	Raman activity	[%] Potential Energy Distribution (PED)	
1	3230	3088	1.9915	122.5108	vCH(100)	
2	3223	3081	3.8047	190.6865	vCH(96)	
3	3206	3065	15.0989	168.0079	vCH(93)	
4	3190	3050	7.1215	133.8051	vCH(87)	
5	3188	3048	1.8775	12.2100	vCH(87)	
6	2967	2836	81.6869	113.9178	vCH(100)	
7	1804	1723	254.0920	187.5745	vOC(91)	
8	1658	1585	7.8472	58.4751	vCC(42)	
9	1648	1575	2.9679	95.9017	vCC(55)	
10	1603	1532	9.1013	29.6118	vCC(49)	
11	1544	1476	13.1408	25.2022	vCC(21); βHCC(27)	
12	1493	1427	37.6452	14.7409	vNC(36); βHCC(16)	
13	1465	1401	12.7348	146.5212	βHCC(50)	
14	1401	1339	9.2703	225.6608	vCC(62); βCNC(10)	
15	1391	1330	0.2543	30.4168	βHCO(71)	
16	1364	1304	9.9375	2.4671	vCC(41)	
17	1343	1284	27.8245	45.3592	vNC(16); vCC(17)	
18	1278	1222	29.0341	33.7513	vNC(10); vCC(21); βHCC(13)	
19	1245	1190	10.3566	4.2636	νCC(29); βHCC(40)	
20	1223	1169	22.4116	25.1403	βCNC(10); βHCC (47)	
21	1181	1129	4.1477	9.6040	βHCC(60)	

Table 2. Theoretical vibrational assignments of 8CQ2C with DFT/B3LYP method 6-31G (d, p) basis set.

22	1145	1095	4.5390	16.3995	vCC(10); βHCC(62)
23	1090	1042	4.7019	9.6352	vCC(59); βHCC(17)
24	1032	987	0.2764	6.4745	тHCCC(61); тHCCC (11)
25	1008	964	0.2619	0.0587	τCCCC(77)
26	1007	963	38.7542	2.1605	vClC(25); βCNC (11); βCCC(31)
27	987	944	0.7726	0.3712	τHCCC(71); δCCCC (11)
28	919	879	0.4471	2.9488	τHCCC(84)
29	904	864	27.7668	0.2652	vCC(23); βCCC(21)
30	867	829	39.8479	1.6734	τHCCC(77)
31	852	815	11.2384	23.6542	νCC(12); βCCC(14); βCCN(12)
32	819	783	1.2290	2.3140	τHCCC(16); τCCCC (44)
33	780	746	26.8303	2.7301	τHCCC(73); τCCCC (15)
34	769	735	54.1753	2.2194	vCC(12); βCCN(27)
35	682	652	26.7380	8.9252	vCCl(14); βCCC(33)
36	671	641	0.2195	0.0725	δCCCC(40); τCCCN (40); τCCCC(21)
37	627	599	4.3908	0.8504	vCC(13); βCCO(32); βCCN(13)
38	569	543	0.0049	2.4302	τHCCC(13); δCCCC (60)
39	536	512	0.2083	9.8838	βCCC(55)
40	514	419	0.3966	0.5207	δCCCC(12); τCCCN (23); τCCCC(35)
41	463	443	5.2396	9.5045	βClC(15); βCCC(20)
42	431	412	0.2816	3.3354	δCCCC(10); τCNCC (64)
43	429	410	5.8515	5.6801	β CCl(51); β CCC(42)
44	361	345	1.2694	5.1779	vCCl(14); βCCC (14); βCCO(16); βCCN(21)
45	312	298	1.5142	0.7750	τHCCC(11); τCCCN (58)
46	239	228	7.9312	2.8326	βCCCl(39)
47	213	204	9.5952	0.0534	δCCCC(16); τCCCN (40)
48	192	183	3.8015	0.3850	δCCCC(60)
49	142	136	1.8011	1.3247	βCCCl(64)
50	113	108	0.9963	3.3120	τCCCC(45); δCCCC (11)
51	78	75	0.7571	2.4633	τCCCC(11); δCCCC (75)

C-H Vibrations

Heterocyclic aromatic compound and its derivatives are structurally very close to benzene. The C–H stretching vibrations for hetero aromatic molecule appear in the region from 3100-3000 cm⁻¹ [28, 29]. The C-H vibrations for 8CQ2C were observed at 3088, 3081, 3065, 3050, 3048 cm⁻¹ with %PED is reported. The general value for in plane bending of C–H is the range of 1000–1300 cm⁻¹ [30]. In the present compound C-H in plane bending are observed at 1190, 1169, 1129 and 1095 cm⁻¹ with including % PED conforming CH bending.

C=O Vibration

The C=O stretching vibration is highly affected for intermolecular hydrogen atom. Hence, hydrogen bonding due to decrease the double bond character of the carbonyl group and shifting absorption band to lower frequency. The C=O stretching vibration is very strong and sharp band appearing in the region 1850-1550 cm⁻¹ [**31**]. In presence of hydrogen atom near to the carbonyl group and it is simulated at 1723 cm⁻¹ with 91% of PED. The theoretical wavenumber 1723 cm⁻¹ also confirm that Gauss View animation option for given C17=O19.

C-C and C=C Vibrations

The ring C-C and C=C stretching vibrations usually occur in the regions 1650-1430 cm⁻¹ and 1380-1280 cm⁻¹, respectively. The actual positions of these modes are determined not so much by the nature of the substituent but rather by the form of the substitution around the ring **[32].** According to C-C stretching vibrations are appears in region 1585, 1575, 1532 and 1476 cm⁻¹ agree well with general range. The C=C stretching vibrations were established at 1339, 1284 and 1222 cm⁻¹ which coincide with literature values. The in-plane and out-of-plane bends are reported at 652-509 cm⁻¹ and 477-282 cm⁻¹ respectively. The in-plane bending of β CCC is appear in the range 652 cm⁻¹ with the PED percentage is 33%. The out-of plane δ CCCC bend was observed at 419 and 204 cm⁻¹.

C-Cl Vibration

The C-Cl stretching vibrations give generally strong bands in the region 800-600 cm⁻¹. In the present peak at 652 cm⁻¹ is agreed with literature value. The C–Cl deformation modes are appeared in the region 460–175 cm⁻¹. In the present molecule peaks are appeared at 443, 410 and 228 cm⁻¹ with PED percentage is 20, 42 and 39 % respectively.

C = **N** and **C**-**N** vibrations

The C-N stretching frequency is a very tough task since it falls in a composite region of the vibrational spectrum, i.e., mixing of several bands is possible in this region [33]. The C=N and C–N stretching modes appear around 1600–1500 cm⁻¹ and 1300–1290 cm⁻¹ [34] respectively. The C-N stretching vibration was observed at 1284 cm⁻¹ which, is well matched with the literature value. The C=N stretching vibration is appeared in the region 1427 cm⁻¹.

3.3. NMR spectral analysis

The isotropic chemical shifts are frequently used in identification of reactive organic as well as ionic species. It is recognized that accurate predictions of molecular geometries are essential for reliable calculations of magnetic properties [**35**]. The theoretical spectra were computed by gauge-independent atomic orbital (GIAO) [**36**] functional in combination with B3LYP method 6-31 G (d, p) basis set. The theoretical ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shift values are presented in **Table 3**.

The computed ¹H NMR chemical shift values are 10.4, 8.4, 8.2, 8.0, 7.8 and 7.7 ppm (18 -H, 14-H, 13-H, 7-H, 9 -H and 10-H) respectively. Since, the proton numbered H18 has the maximum chemical shift value (10.4 ppm), as it is near the electronegative O19 atom. The protons 14-H, 13-H, 7-H, 9-H and 10-H with chemical shift values are 8.4, 8.2, 8.2 8.0, 7.8 and 7.7 ppm respectively. Whereas proton chemical shifts are due to shielding (or upfield). In the organic molecules, ¹³C NMR chemical shifts are usually lying in the region of 10-200 ppm [37]. In the present ¹³C NMR chemical shift values are 176.9, 138.7, 122.5, 116.0, 112.3 and 105.5 ppm (17-C, 12-C, 8-C, 1-C, 5-C and 11-C) respectively. The maximum chemical shift value of carbon atom 17-C having 176.9 ppm due to deshieled (downfield) and minimum chemical shift is 11-C with 105.5 ppm. The theoretical spectra of ¹³C NMR and ¹H chemical shift are shown in Fig 3.



Fig 3. Theoretical spectra of 13C NMR and 1H NMR chemical shift of 8CQ2C.

Atom	Chemical Shift (ppm)	Atom	Chemical Shift (ppm)
17-C	176.9	18-H	10.4
12-C	138.7	14-H	8.4
8-C	122.5	13-Н	8.2
1-C	116.0	7-H	8.0
5-C	112.3	9-H	7.8
11-C	105.5	10-Н	7.7

Table 3. Theoretical chemical shift values of 13CNMR and 1H NMR

3.4. VCD spectral analysis

Vibrational circular dichroism (VCD) is similar to the electro-magneto-optic effect (Zeeman Effect) and it is directly related to IR of vibrational optical activity which detects differences in attenuation of left and right circularly polarized light passing through the compound. It is the extension of circular dichroism spectroscopy into the IR and near infrared region [38]. The VCD analysis were calculated by using DFT/B3LYP method with 6-31G (d,p) basis set. The theoretical VCD gives two dimensional structural information because VCD is sensitive to the mutual orientation of distinct ligand groups in a molecule. It is also used for the identification of absolute configurations of the organic compounds [39]. The vibrational difference with respect to the left and right circularly polarized light radiations and the result is combination of an emission and absorption spectra associated with biologically and optically significant of organic molecules.

In the present case, the VCD spectrum was originate from zero to 4000 cm⁻¹ and the intensive peak started with 400 cm⁻¹. The intense peaks are represented to the absorption and emission was predicted in both positive and negative phase due to the left and right polarization. The absorption intensity is usually unequal in both up and down of the VCD spectrum. The vibrational polarization bands belongs to middle IR region which corresponding to the C-C, C=C, C-N, C=N, C-Cl and C=O stretching respectively. These various vibrational modes are used to the optical and biological applications. The VCD spectrum is shown in **Fig 4.**



Fig 4. Theoretical VCD spectrum.

3.5. UV- Visible analysis

The theoretical UV- Visible spectrum were obtained by DFT/B3LYP method with 6-31G (d,p) basis set. The UV-Visible analysis was carried out different electronic transitions of the 8CQ2C. The calculated absorption maximum along with optical parameters such as excitation energy, excitation wavelength, and oscillator strength are given in the **Table 4**. The theoretical calculations predicted two peaks at 246.23 nm and 238.73 nm with corresponding excitation energies are 5.03 eV and 5.19 eV respectively. The maximum absorption peak at 246.23 nm are due to $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition in the title compound. Theoretical UV-Visible spectrum is shown in **Fig 5**.



Fig 5. Theoretical UV- visible spectrum

Excited states	Wavelength (λ_{max}) in nm	Excitation energy (eV)	Oscillator strength (f)	Assignment
S1	246.23	5.0353	0.1208	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$
S2	238.73	5.1935	0.0586	$\pi { ightarrow} \pi^*$

Table 4. Theoretical electronic absorption spectrum values of 8CQ2C.

3.6. Frontier molecular orbital energy analysis

HOMO means the highest occupied molecular orbital and LUMO means the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital. HOMO and LUMO are important parameters in defining the reactivity of chemical species [40-43]. The energy of HOMO indicates nucleophilicity and LUMO indicates electrophilicity [44]. HOMO-LUMO energy gap reflects the kinetic stability of the molecule [45]. The HOMO shows the various prominent donor orbitals and the LUMO shows that of prominent acceptor orbitals. HOMO-1 and LUMO+1, represents the respective donor and acceptor levels one energy state below and above these levels respectively [46]. The computed energy gaps and other quantum descriptors like electronegativity (χ), chemical hardness (η), softness(S), chemical potential (µ), and electrophilicity index (ω) are given in the title compound. HOMO-LUMO analysis were obtained by DFT/ B3LYP method with 6-31G (d,p) basis set. The HOMO and LUMO orbitals of 8CQ2C are shown in Fig 6.

The electronic properties of the molecule are calculated from the total energies and the Koopman's theorem [47]. The ionization potential (IP= - E_{HO} . MO), electron affinity (EA= - E_{LUMO}), electrophilicity index ($\omega = \mu^2/2\eta$), electronegativity ($\chi = (I+A)/2$), chemical hardness ($\eta = (I-A)/2$) and softness (S=1/2 η) are listed in **Table 5.** The calculated energy values of HOMO and LUMO are - 0.32668 and 0.03221 eV respectively. The energy gap between HOMO and LUMO is 0.29 eV. The values of IP, EA, χ are 0.3266eV, 0.0322 eV and 0.1472 eV. The chemical hardness is $\eta = 0.1799$ eV and softness is S =5.5574 eV were calculated in the title compound. The softness value of 8CQ2C indicates that it belongs to soft material category. The title compound of 8CQ2C is a negative ionization potential and it is stable molecule. The lowering of frontier molecular orbital energy gap confirms the charge transfer within the molecule which reflects its kinetic stability and substantiates its bioactivity.

Fig 6. The HOMO and LUMO orbitals of 8CQ2C



Table 5. The Frontier Molecule Orbital values of8CQ2C

FMOs	8CQ2C
E _{HOMO} (eV)	-0.3266
E _{LUMO} (eV)	-0.0322
E _{HOMO} - E _{LUMO} gap (eV)	0.2944
Ionization Potential (I)	0.3266
Electron affinity (A)	0.0322
Electronegativity (χ)	0.1472
Chemical hardness (ŋ)	0.1799
Softness (S)	5.5574
Electrophilicity index (ω)	0.0601

3.7. Molecular Electrostatic Potential (MEP) analysis

MEP is very useful for docking analysis in the two species like protein and ligand interact mainly through their potentials. MEP surface helps to predict the reactivity of a wide variety of chemical systems in both electrophilic and nucleophilic reactions, the study of biological recognition processes and hydrogen bonding interactions [48]. The electrostatic potential increases in the order red < orange < yellow < green < blue [49]. The different values of the electrostatic potential at the surface are represented by different colors; red represents regions of most electro negative electrostatic potential, blue represents regions of most positive electrostatic potential and green represents regions of zero potential. MEP for the title compound is shown in Fig 7. The oxygen atom is present in 8CQ2C is surrounded by higher electron density given by deep red in the presence of double bond of carbon atom. The hydrogen atoms are attached in the carbon atoms and it is positive electronegative potential and the color representation is blue. The benzene ring is also zero potential in given color is green. The electrostatic potential is largely responsible for the binding of a substrate to its receptor binding sites since the receptor and the corresponding ligands recognize each other at their molecular surface [50, 51]. Hence, only the electrostatic potential of oxygen atom is interact with two amino acids like SER A and TYR A in the active side of the ligand.



Fig 7. Molecular Electrostatic Potential of 8CQ2C

3.8. Natural bonding orbital (NBO) analysis

NBO analysis is performed to determine the electronic transitions from filled orbital of one system to unfilled orbital of another system. The second order fock matrix method is carried out to the interactions of donor-acceptor in the NBO analysis [52]. NBO analysis was calculated by using DFT/ B3LYP method with 6-31G (d,p) basis set. It is mainly used to measure the delocalization of electron density and such a kind of hyper conjugation of electronic orbitals. The higher the E(2) values, the molecular interaction of donor – acceptor are more intense and greater is the stability of entire molecule. The analysis of various donors and acceptors are indicates only two types of donors $\pi \& \sigma$ and two types of acceptors $\pi *\& \sigma$ respectively.

The Delocalization of electron density amid occupied Lewis-type (bond or lone pair) NBO orbitals and properly unoccupied (antibond or Rydgberg) non-Lewis NBO orbitals resemble to a stabilizing donor-acceptor interaction. The second order perturbation of stabilization energies E(2) between bonding and antibonding has been given in the Table 6. The maximum stabilization energy is 23.67 kJmol⁻¹ and it is obtained for the interactions between π (C8-C11) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (C12-N16). In the other interactions are LP(2)O19 $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (C17-H18), π $(C12-N16) \rightarrow \pi^{*}(C3-C4), \pi (C3-C4) \rightarrow \pi^{*}(C12-C12-C12)$ N16), π (C3-C4) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (C1-C2) and π (C8-C11) \rightarrow π^* (C3-C4) which leads to strong delocalization of 20.78, 20.04, 16.44, 16.05 and 16.02 respectively. The very important interactions of Lewis bond and non-Lewis bond orbitals with the Cl15, N16 and O19 lone pairs. The maximum intermolecular charge transfer are occurs in the π bonding and π^* antibonding orbitals thus the entire title compound have a π conjugated system and it is more stability. The more reactive side is carbonyl group (C17=O19) and it also interacts with good way of protein-ligand interactions.

Donor (i)	ED(e)	Acceptor (j)	ED(e)	E(2) ^a Kal/Mol	E (i) – E(j) ^b a.u	F(i,j) ^c
π (C3-C4)	1.96632	π [*] (C1-C2)	0.29982	16.05	0.27	0.062
π (C3-C4)	1.51545	π^{*} (C12-N16)	0.34209	16.44	0.26	0.061
π (C8-C11)	1.98090	π [*] (C3-C4)	0.46074	16.02	0.28	0.063
π (C8-C11)	1.70976	π^{*} (C12-N16)	0.01796	23.67	0.28	0.073
π (C12-N16)	1.98528	π [*] (C3-C4)	0.04219	20.04	0.32	0.076
LP(3)Cl15	1.91820	π [*] (C1-C2)	0.02281	13.88	0.33	0.064
LP(1)N16	1.91688	π [*] (C11-C12)	0.03637	11.13	0.87	0.089
LP(2)O19	1.88672	π^{*} (C17-H18)	0.05905	20.78	0.69	0.108

Table 6. NBO transition data of 8CQ2C calculated using second order perturbation method of Fock matrix.

ED, Electron density,

^aE⁽²⁾ means energy of hyper conjugative interaction (stabilization energy), ^bEnergy difference between donor and acceptor i and j NBO orbitals, ^cE (*i*) Each matrix element between i and i NBO orbitals.

 ${}^{c}\!F\left(i,j\right)$ Fork matrix element between i and j NBO orbitals.

3.9. Mulliken atomic charges

The Mulliken population analysis gives the individual charge on each atoms in the molecule and it is the several characteristics of the molecular structure. The mulliken atomic charges were obtained by DFT/B3LYP method with 6-31 G (d, p) basis set. The mulliken atomic charges are shown in Fig 8. Among the carbon atoms, all the carbon atoms except C3, C4, C6, C12 and C17 are all of negative charges. The presence of nitrogen and oxygen atoms are more electronegative and it makes the two double bond of carbon atoms C12 and C17 more negative. Hence N16 and O19 are more negative which can attract the more atoms from the neighbor. The Cl15 atom is one of positive charge attached to the electronegative atom C2 because this atom makes the double bond C2 and C1 atoms are the electro negativity. All the hydrogen atoms are positively charged because they donate electrons to the nearby carbon atoms [53] and all the carbon and nitrogen atoms are negatively charged. The atomic charges are given in the Table 7. Hence, the sums of Mulliken charges of all atoms are calculated to be zero and confirmed the charge neutrality.



Fig 8. Mulliken atomic charges.

Atomic Number	Natural atomic charges
C1	-0.082
C2	-0.121
C3	0.257
C4	0.132
C5	-0.131
C6	0.081
H7	0.118
C8	-0.087
Н9	0.100
H10	0.106
C11	-0.098
C12	0.218
H13	0.107
H14	0.127
C115	0.022
N16	-0.550
C17	0.274
H18	0.094
O19	-0.404

Table 7. Mulliken atomic charges of 8CQ2C

3.10. Non-Linear Optical (NLO) Property

The NLO activity provides the key functions for optical modulation and switching, frequency shifting and optical logic for the developing technologies in areas such as communication, signal processing and optical inter connections [54,55]. Organic molecules able to manipulate photonic signals efficiently are of importance in technologies such as optical communication, optical computing, and dynamic image processing [56,57]. The polarizability, hyperpolarizability and dipole moment also calculated by using DFT/B3LYP method with 6-31 G (d,p) basis set. To find out the dipole moment (μ), polarizability (α), and hyper polarizability (β) are defined as [58] using x, y and z components,

SIFT DESK

Dipole moment is $\mu = (\mu^{2}x + \mu^{2}y + \mu^{2}z)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ Polarizability is $\alpha_{0} = (\alpha xx + \alpha yy + \alpha zz)/3$ Hyperpolarizability is $\beta = (\beta^{2}x + \beta^{2}y + \beta^{2}z)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ Where $\beta x = (\beta xxx + \beta xyy + \beta xzz)$ $\beta y = (\beta yyy + \beta yzz + \beta yxx)$ $\beta z = (\beta zzz + \beta zxx + \beta zyy)$ $\beta = [(\beta xxx + \beta xyy + \beta xzz)^{2} + (\beta yyy + \beta yzz + \beta yxx)^{2} + (\beta zzz + \beta zxx + \beta zyy)^{2}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$

The polarizability and hyperpolarizability values are given by Gaussian 09 and it is reported in atomic units (a.u), the calculated values have been converted into electrostatic units (e.s.u) (α ; 1 a.u. = 0.1482x 10-24 e.s.u; β ; 1 a.u.= 8.3693 x 10-33 e.s.u) [59]. The values of dipole moment is μ = 4.885 D and hyperpolarizability is β = 6.7349 x 10⁻³⁰ esu. The title compound is 18 times greater than that of the standard NLO material Urea (0.3728 x 10⁻³⁰ esu). Hence, 8CQ2C also has NLO property. The calculated β components and β_{tot} value of 8CQ2C are given in Table 8.

Table 8. Calculated β components and $\beta_{tot} value of 8CQ2C$

β Components	8CQ2C
β _{xxx}	521.3285
β_{xxy}	224.6322
β _{xyy}	13.76503
β _{ууу}	220.9898
β_{xxz}	14.46556
β_{xyz}	8.52040
β _{yyz}	-5.54994
β _{xxz}	-0.02040
β _{yzz}	-0.39329
β _{zzz}	0.03289
β_{Total} (esu)	6.73495 X 10 ⁻³⁰

3.11. Molecular docking analysis

The molecular docking method is used to find out the ligand binding site to a receptor and predict the binding orientations. Now a days, computational investigations act as emerging tools for studying a number of molecular parameters [60, 61]. In the present work, the molecular docking analysis was carried out for the 8CQ2C ligand with more common targeted proteins associated with the Parkinson's and schizophrenia diseases. Parkinson's disease is a neurodegenerative disorder and Schizophrenia is a brain disorder but it also life –long disease that cannot be cured but can be controlled with proper treatment. Recently, many researchers have been focusing the molecular docking studies on the mentioned targeted proteins [62-65].

To find the two proteins like 2V60 and 4MRW and these structures of the targeted proteins were obtained from the RCSB PDB format [66]. Autodock Tools version 1.5.6 is recently have been used as a convenient tool to get insights of the molecular mechanism of ligand-protein interactions and to bind the receptor of 3D structure. Discovery studio visualizer were utilize for the evaluation of hydrogen bonds in the ligand-protein interaction. The ligand PDB file was created by using the optimized molecular structure of the 8CQ2C molecule. The AutoDock Tools graphical user interface [67] was used to prepare the target proteins for docking. The ligand and water molecules present in the targeted proteins were removed. The polar hydrogen bond and Kollman charges were added in the targeted proteins.

The intermolecular interactions were studied for the 8CO2C with different proteins like 2V60 and 4MRW. As a result of docking is find out the ten conformers were obtained and their binding energies with inhibitory constants were listed in given Table 9. The binding energies with inhibitory constants of 2V60 and 4MRW are found to be -6.76 and -5.7 k cal mol⁻¹ with 11.1 and 6.91 um respectively. 3D model interaction of title compound with proteins shown in Fig 9. 2D model of interaction between amino acid residues is shown in Fig 11 and it is predicted the green dotted lines are represent the hydrogen bonds, the dark yellow dotted lines re represent the electrostatic bonds and pink dotted lines are represent the hydrophobic bonds. The amino acids are mainly involved in the ligand and protein interactions. The docking of 8CQ2C interact with different amino ac-

SIFT DESK

ids like Serine A: 59 with 2.10 Å (Symbol SER A: 59) and Tyrosine A:60 with 2.25 Å (Symbol TYR A:60) in 2V60 protein. For another amino acid like Tyrosine A: 524 with 2.61 Å (Symbol TYR A: 524) were interacted with 8CQ2C and 4MRW protein. These interactions are depends upon the nature of the functional groups present in the ligand. The docked confirmation of the active site of 8CQ2C is shown in **Fig 10**. These results indicate that the 8CQ2C ligand possesses the lowest binding energy and inhibition constant for the targeted proteins. Hence, these docking results will be useful for developing the effective treatment of Parkinson's and Schizophrenia diseases.



(a) 2V60 receptor



(b) 4MRW receptor Fig 9. 3D Model of ligand 8CQ2C with (a) 2V60 and (b) 4MRW receptors



(a) 2V60 receptor



(b) 4MRW receptor

Fig 10. The schematic of the docked confirmation of the active site of 8CQ2C with (a) 2V60 and (b) 4MRW receptors.

Table 9. The possible conformers of 8CQ2C dockedwith 2V60 and 4MRW receptors.

Garfaman	Binding Energy(k cal mol ⁻¹)		Inhibitory constant(µM)	
Conformer	2V60	4MRW	2V60	4MRW
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	-6.76 -6.76 -6.75 -6.76 -6.75 -6.76 -6.76 -6.75 -6.75 -6.76	-5.7 -5.7 -5.59 -5.69 -5.7 -5.69 -5.68 -5.6 -5.7	11.1 11.11 11.16 11.24 11.07 11.33 11.13 11.04 11.2 11.12	66.58 66.42 79.3 80.36 67.27 66.91 67.34 68.41 79.09 66.69



(a) 2V60 receptor



(b) 4MRW receptor

Fig 11. 2D model of interaction between amino acid residues with 8CQ2C and 2V60 & 4MRW.

4. CONCLUSION

In the present work, 8CQ2C were optimized by using DFT/B3LYP method with 6-31 G (d,p) basis set. The optimized structure provides various bond lengths and bond angles coinciding with the literature values and also calculated the global minimum energy E= -974.8020 Hartrees in title compound. The various vibrational frequencies are calculated on the basis of PED by using VEDA program. The theoretical NMR provides the ¹H NMR and ¹C NMR chemical shift values are reported. The theoretical VCD spectrum was simulated and also predicted to both obtain optical and biological activity of the title compound. The UV-Vis analysis was simulated theoretical values and so predicted to both obtain optical and biological activity of the title compound.

cally and the maximum absorption peak is 246.23 nm is associated with $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition. The band gap energy is 0.29 eV and the HOMO-LUMO provides the energy difference between the charge transfer interactions in the molecule. The possible electrophilic and nucleophilic reactive sites were predicted by MEP. In the negative potential sites are on oxygen, chlorine and nitrogen atoms as well as the positive potential sites are hydrogen atoms in the title compound. Stabilization of title compound is carried out by hyper conjugation interactions and charge delocalization has been calculated by NBO analysis. Mulliken charge distribution has been calculated. The dipole moment, polarizability and hyperpolarizability reveal that title compound has considerable NLO activity and hence can be used for material science applications. The docking result clearly provides that the two different proteins interact with ligand. The most active side is C17=O19 of 8CQ2C ligand interactions takes for different amino acids like SER A: 59, TYR A: 60 and TYR A: 524 with given resolutions 2.10 Å, 2.25 Å and 2.61 Å respectively. Hence, 8CQ2C may be used in the treatment of Parkinson's and Schizophrenia diseases but in-vito & in-vivo studies have to been carried out before implementation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of this work by Department of Physics, Sri Sarada College for Women (Autonomous), Salem-16.

REFERENCES

- S.A. Khan, A.M. Asiri, S.H. Al-Thaqafy, H.M.F. Aidallah, S.A. El-Daly, Synthesis, characterization and spectroscopic behavior of novel 2-oxo-1,4disubstituted-1,2,5,6- tetrahydrobenzo[h]quinoline-3carbonitrile dyes, Spectrochim. Acta 133 (2014) 141-148. PMid:24934972 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/</u> <u>NCBI</u>
- [2] C.B. Sangani, J.A. Makawana, X. Zhang, S.C. Teraiya, I. Lin, H.L. Zhu, Design, synthesis and molecular modeling of pyrazole-quinoline-pyridine hybrids as a new class of antimicrobial and anticancer agents, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 76 (2014) 549-557. PMid:24607998 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [3] P.M.G. Swamy, Y.S. Agasimundin, Rasayan J. Chem. 1 (2) (2008) 421-428.
- [4] Web Page Source: <u>View Article</u>
- [5] Cohen, M. L. Nature 2000, 406, 762. PMid:10963605

View Article PubMed/NCBI

- [6] Barrett, C. T.; Barrett, J. F. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 2003,14,621. PMid:14662392 <u>View Article</u> PubMed/NCBI
- [7] Nasveld, P.; Kitchener, S. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 2005, 99, 2. PMid:15550254 <u>View Arti-</u> <u>cle</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [8] Denny, W. A.; Wilson, W. R.; Ware, D. C.; Atwell, G. J.; Milbank, J. B.; Stevenson, R. J. U.S. Patent 7,064,117, June 20, 2006.
- [9] Mahamoud, A.; Chevalier, J.; Davin-Regli, A.; Barbe, J.; Pages, J. M. Curr. Drug Targets 2006, 7, 843.
 PMid:16842215 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [10] Ahmed, N.; Brahmbhatt, K. G.; Sabde, S.; Mitra, D.; Singh, I. P.; Bhutani, K. K.Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 18, 2872. PMid:20350812 <u>View Arti-</u> <u>cle</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [11] Desai, N. C.; Rajpara, K. M.; Joshi, V. V.; Vaghani, H. V.; Satodiya, H. M. Med.Chem. Res. 2012.
- [12] Web Page Source: <u>View Article</u>
- [13] Claudia Binda, Jin Wang, Leonardo Pisani, Carla Caccia, Angelo Carotti, Patricia Salvati, Dale E. Edmondson and Andrea Mattevi, "Structures of Human Monoamine Oxidase B Complexes with selective Noncovalent inhibitors: Safinamide and Coumarin analogs", J.Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 5848-5852. PMid:17915852
 <u>View Article</u> PubMed/NCBI
- [14] Michael I. Recht, Vandana Sridhar, John Badger, Pierre-Yves Bounaud, Cheyenne Logan, Barbara Chie
 -Leon, Vicki Nienaber and Francisco E. Torres, " Identification and Optimization of PDE10A Inhibitors using Fragment-based screening by Nanocalorimetry and X-ray Crystallography", J Biomol Screening, 27 December 2013. PMid:24375910 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [15] Web Page Source: View Article
- [16] Seveinbjorns dottir, S (October 2016). "The clinical symptoms of Parkinson's disease'.Journal of Neurochemistry.139 Suppl 1:318-324. PMid:27401947 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [17] Web Page Source: View Article
- [18] Web Page Source: View Article
- [19] Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Frisch M J, Trucks GW, Schlegel H B, Scuseria G E, Robb M A, Cheeseman J A, Calmani G, Barone V, Mennucci B, Petersson G A, Nakatsuji H, Caricato M, Li X, Hratchian, Izmaylov A F, Bloino J, Zheng G, Sonnenberg J L, Hada M, Ehara M, Toyota K, Fukuda R, Hasegawa J, Ishida M, Nakajima T, Honda Y, Kitao O, Nakai H, Vreven T, Montgomery J A, Jr Peralta JE, Ogliaro F, Bearpark M, Heyd J J, Brothers E, Kudin K N, Staroverov V N, Keith T, Kobayashi R, Normand J, Raghavachari K, Rendell A, Burant J C, Iyengar S S, Tomasi J, Cossi M, Rega N, Millam J M, Klene M, Knox J E, Cross J B, Bakken V, Adamo C, Jaramillo J, Gomperts R, Stratmann R E, Yazyev O, Austin A J, Cammi R, Pomelli C, Ochterski J W, Martin R L, Morokuma K, Zakrzewski V G, Voth G A, Salvador P, Dannenberg J J, Dapprich S, Daniels A D, Farkas O, Foresman J B, Ortiz J V, Cioslowski J and Fox D J, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2010.
- [20] E. Frisch, H. P. Hratchian, R. D. Dennington II, et al., Gaussview, Version 5.0.8, Gaussian, Inc., 235 Wall-

ingford, C.T, 2009

- [21] Michal. H. Jamroz, Vibrational Energy Distribution Analysis VEDA 4, Warsaw, 2004-2010
- [22] G. M. Morris, R.Huey, W.Lindstrom, M. F.Sanner, R. K.Belew, D. S.Goodsell and A. J.Olson, Autodock4 and AutoDockTools4: automated docking with selective receptor flexibility, J. Comput. Chem, 30(16) (2009) 2785-2791. PMid:19399780 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [23] The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.8 Schrödinger, LLC Laskowski RA, Swindells MB.J Chem Inf Model. 51(10) (2011) 2778-2786.
 PMid:21919503 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [24] Dassault Systèmes BIOVIA, Discovery Studio 2016, DS2016Client32, San Diego: Dassault Systèmes,2016.
- [25] F.H. Allen, O. Kennard, D.G. Watson, L. Brammer, A.G. Orpen, R. Taylor, Tables of bond lengths determined by X-ray and neutron diffraction. Part 1. Bond lengths in organic compounds, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 (12) (1987) S1-S19. <u>View Article</u>
- [26] B.T. Gowda, K. Jyothi, J. Kozisek, H. Fuess, Z. Naturforsch. 58a (2003) 656.
- [27] B.T. Gowda et al., Acta Cryst. E63 (2007) o2967. <u>View Article</u>
- [28] V. Arjunan, S. Mohan, Fourier transform infrared and FT-Raman spectra, assignment, ab initio, DFT and normal co-ordinate analysis of 2-chloro-4methylaniline and 2-chloro-6- methylaniline, Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 72 (2009) 436 - 444. PMid:19081287 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/</u> NCBI
- [29] I.H. Joe, G. Aruldhas, S. Anbukumar, P. Ramasamy, Vibrational spectra and phase transition in triglycine sulpho-phosphate, Cryst. Res. Technol. 29 (1994) 685 -692. <u>View Article</u>
- [30] G. Socrates, Infrared Characteristic group frequencies, Wiley, New York, 1980.
- [31]G. Socrates, Infrared Characteristic Group Frequencies, John Wiley and Sons, NewYork, 1981.
- [32] L.J. Bellamy, The Infra-red Spectra of Complex Molecules, Wiley, New York, 1954.
- [33] M. Silverstein, G.C. Basseler, C. Morill, Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds, Wiley, New York, 1981.
- [34] J.B. Lambert, H.F. Shurvell, D.A. Lightner, R.G. Cooks, Organic Structural Spectroscopy, Prentice Hall, 1998.
- [35] S. Sebastian, N. Sundaraganesan, B. Karthikeiyan, V. Srinivasan, Spectrochim.Acta Part A 78 (2011) 590-600. PMid:21195659 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/</u> <u>NCBI</u>
- [36] Z. Zhengyu, F. Aiping, D. Dongmei, J. Quantum Chem. 78 (2000) 186. 1097-461X(2000) 78:3<186::AID-QUA6>3.0.CO;2-H <u>View Article</u>
- [37] H.O. Kalinowski, S. Berger, S. Brawn, Carbon-13 NMR spectroscopy, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 1988.
- [38] T. A. Keiderling, I.C. Baianu, H. Pessen, T. Kumosinski, Physical Chemistry of Food Processes, Advanced Techniques, Structures and Applications. New York: Van Norstrand-Reinhold. (1993) 307-337.
- [39] T. A. Keiderling & Qi Xu, Advances in Protein

Chemistry 62. New York: AcademicPress. (2002), 111-161. 62007-8 View Article

- [40] S. Naseem, M. Khalid, M.N. Tahir, M.A. Halim, A.A.C. Braga, M.M. Naseer, Z. Shafiq, Synthesis, structural, DFT studies, docking and antibacterial activity of a xanthene based hydrazone ligand, J. Mol. Struct. 1143 (2017) 235-244. <u>View Article</u>
- [41] M.N. Tahir, M. Khalid, A. Islam, S.M.A. Mashhadi, A.A.C. Braga, Facile synthesis, single crystal analysis, and computational studies of sulfanilamide derivatives, J. Mol. Struct.1127 (2017) 766-776. <u>View Article</u>
- [42] M.N. Arshad, A.M. Al-Dies, A.M. Asiri, M. Khalid, A.S. Birinji, K.A. Al- Amry, A.A.C.Braga, Synthesis, Crystal Structures, Spectroscopic and Nonlinear Optical Properties of Chalcone Derivatives: A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study, J. Mol. Struct.1141 (2017) 142-156. <u>View Article</u>
- [43] M. Adeel, A.A.C. Braga, M.N. Tahir, F. Haq, M. Khalid, M.A. Halim, Synthesis, X-ray crystallographic, spectroscopic and computational studies of Aminothiazole derivatives, J. Mol. Struct. 1131 (2017) 136-148. <u>View Article</u>
- [44] S. Fung, J.U. Flanagan, C.J. Squire, B.D. Palmer, L.-M. Ching, A molecular docking strategy for identifying fragment inhibitors of indoleamine 2, 3dioxygenase1 (IDO1), AACR, 2014. <u>View Article</u>
- [45] I. Fleming, Molecular orbitals and organic chemical reactions, John Wiley & Sons, 2011. <u>View Article</u>
- [46] A. Suvitha, S. Periandy, P. Gayathri, "NBO, HOMO-LUMO, UV, NLO, NMR and vibrational analysis of veratrole using FT-IR, FT -Raman, FT-NMR spectra and HF - DFT computational methods", Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy (2014). PMid:25514662 <u>View Article</u> PubMed/NCBI
- [47] T.A. Koopmans, Physica 1 (1993) 104-113. 90011-2 View Article
- [48] P.Politzer, J.S. Murray, in: D.L. Beveridge, R. Lavery (Eds.) Theoretical Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, A comprehensive Survey, protein, Vol. 2, Adenine Press, Schenectady, New York 1991.
- [49] P. Thul, V.P. Gupta, V.J. Ram, P. Tandon, Spectrochim. Acta 75 (2010) 251. PMid:19939727 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [50] H. Kobinyi, G. Folkers, Y.C. Martin, 3D QSAR in Drug Design Vol. 3, Recent Advances, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998. <u>View Article</u>
- [51] S. Moro, M. Bacilieri, C. Ferrari, G. Spalluto, Curr. Drug Discovery Technol. 2 (2005) 13-21. PMid:16472237 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [52] M. Raja, R. Raj Muhamed, S. Muthu, M. Suresh, Synthesis, spectroscopic (FT-IR, FTRaman, NMR, UV-Visible), NLO, NBO,HOMO-LUMO, Fukui function and molecular docking study of (E)-1-(5-bromo-2-

hydroxybenzylidene)semicarbazide, J. Mol. Struct., 1141 (2017) 284-298. <u>View Article</u>

- [53] Sudhir M. Hiremath, A. Suvitha, Ninganagouda R. Patil, Chidanandayya S. Hiremathd, Seema S. Khemalapure, Subrat K. Pattanayak, Veerabhadrayya S. Negalurmath, Kotresh Obelannavar "Molecular structure, Vibrational Spectra, NMR, UV, NBO, NLO, HOMO LUMO and Molecular Docking of 2-(4, 6-Dimethyl-1-benzofuran-3-yl) acetic acid (2DBAA): Experimental and Theoretical Approach" Journal of Molecular Structure (2018). <u>View Article</u>
- [54] C. Andraud, T. Brotin, C. Garcia, F. Pelle, P. Goldner, B. Bigot, A. Collet. J. Am. Chem.Soc. 116 (1994) 2094-2101. View Article
- [55] V.M. Geskin, C. Lambert, J.L. Bredas, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125 (2003) 15651-15658. PMid:14664614 <u>View</u> <u>Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [56] P.V. Kolinsky, Opt. Eng. 31 (1992) 11676-11684 <u>View Article</u>
- [57] D.F. Eaton, Science 25 (1991) 281-287. PMid:17794694 View Article PubMed/NCBI
- [58] K.S. Thanthiri Watte, K.M. Nalin de silva, Non-linear optical properties of novel fluorenyl derivatives-ab initio quantum chemical calculations, J. Mol. Struct. Theochem. 617 (2002) 169-175. 00419-0 <u>View Article</u>
- [59] H. Alyar, Z. Kantarci, M. Bahat, E. Kasap, Investigation of trorsional barriers and nonlinear (NLO) properties of phenyltriazines, J. Mol. Struct. 834-836 (2007) 516-520. <u>View Article</u>
- [60] S.K. Pattanayak, S. Chowdhuri, Pressure and temperature dependence on the hydrogen bonding and dynamics of ammonium ion in liquid water: A molecular dynamics simulation study, J. Mol. Liq. 186 (2013) 98 -105. <u>View Article</u>
- [61] S.K. Pattanayak, S. Chowdhuri, Effects of methanol on the hydrogen bonding structure and dynamics in aqueous N-methylacetamide solution. J. Mol. Liq. 194 (2014) 141-148. <u>View Article</u>
- [62] S. Premkumar, T.N. Rekha, R. Mohamed Asath, T. Mathavan, A. Milton Franklin Benial, Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 2016, 82, 115. PMid:26616823 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [63] Z Tang, R Du, S Jiang et al., Brit. J. Cancer, 2008, 99, 911. PMid:19238632 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/</u> <u>NCBI</u>
- [64] Janine M. Buonato and Matthew J. Lazzara, Cancer Res., 2014, 74, 309. PMid:24108744 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [65] Marian C. Bryan, Daniel J. Burdick, Bryan K. Chan et al., ACS Med. Chem. Lett., 2016,7 (1), 100.
- [66] Web Page Source: <u>View Article</u>
- [67]G.M. Morris, D.S. Goodsell, R.S. Halliday, R. Huey,
 W.E. Hart, R.K. Belew, A.J. Olson, J. Comput. Chem.
 1998, 19, 1639. 1096-987X(19981115)
 19:14<1639::AID-JCC10>3.0.CO;2-B <u>View Article</u>

SIFT DESK JOURNALS

Email: info@siftdesk.org