# Journal of Computational Chemistry & Molecular Modeling (ISSN: 2473-6260)

# Computational Study of the Effect of Physical Factors on the Halochromic Behavior of Azo Indicators Using Density Functional Theory

#### DOI: 10.25177/JCCMM.3.2.RA.544

Research

Received Date: 25thJan2019Accepted Date: 15stFeb2019Published Date: 20thFeb2019

Copy rights: © This is an Open access article distributed under the terms of International License.



## Farzaneh Zanjanchi \*, Mahdieh Sadeghpour

Department of Chemistry, Takestan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Takestan, Iran

## **CORRESPONDENCE AUTHOR**

Farzaneh Zanjanchi Tel.: 989123816819 E-mail address: fzanjanchi@yahoo.com

# CITATION

Farzaneh Zanjanchi, Computational Study of the Effect of Physical Factors on the Halochromic Behavior of Azo Indicators Using Density Functional Theory(2019) Journal of Computational Chemistry & Molecular Modeling 3(2) p:276-284

## ABSTRACT

Azo dyes are an important class of pH indicators, with some applications in novel sensors. In this paper, the authors present a theoretical study to elucidate the effect of physical factors on the halochromic behavior of the azo dyes The studied azo dyes are methyl red and methyl orange and the physical factors such as temperature, pressure, ionic strength of solvent and isotope type of constituent atoms are taken into account. The obtained values for tautomery equilibrium constant show that the azo form of the indicators is more stable than their hydrazone form. Therefore, in the studies related to the acid-base equilibrium of the indicators, only azo form is considered. In the meantime, pH change of color change of the indicators,  $\Delta pH$ , with the physical factors in the acid-base equilibrium of the indicators is studied. Increased temperature causes pH of color change of indicators to decrease. This drop is approximately similar in various pressures. Increasing pressure at any temperature results the increase of pH of color change. The effect of pressure on  $\Delta pH$  is lower under higher pressures and is not dependent on the indicator type. By decreasing the polarity of the solvent used, the pH of color change of the indicators increases. The use of isotopes of atoms produces the increase in the pH of color change of the indicator that its value depends on the type of atom and type of the indicator. The methodology in this work will be useful in future dye design and is applicable to other dye classes as well. These findings indicate the importance of physical factors on the halochromic behavior of dyes for further research the development of pH-sensitive sensors.

Keyword: DFT, Halochromic, Isotope, Pressure, pH indicator, Solvent, Temperature

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

In addition to their main use as colourant, dyes are increasingly used in other fields, such as photovoltaic cells, optical switches and light emitting diodes. Some dyes show chromic properties, meaning that their colour change depending on an external influence; such as thermochromism, photochromism and halochromism properties [1-8]. A halochromic material is a material which changes color, when pH changes occur. The pH indicators have this property. To this day, the halochromic behavior of many dyes (azo, sulfonphthaleine, phenolphthalein, phenolate, quinolone dyes and et al.) has been studied experimentally and theoretically, [1,9-14] but so far, and to the knowledge of the authors, the theoretical study of the effect of physical factors on the color change pH of the azo dyes has not been done. In this research, we focus on two types of azo dyes including methyl red and methyl orange. The azo dyes are widely used as pH indicator in acidic medium, besides, they are applied in many technical applications such as optical storage capacity, optical switching, non-linear optics and pH optical sensor [12,14-17]. Recently, azobenzene based chromophores with anchoring to singlewalled carbon nanotubes or graphene surfaces have drawn attention for applications in color detectors and optoelectronics due to their ability to undergo the photon-induced isomerization that changes the molecular orientation and the electrical dipole moment of the chromophore and thus modifies the electrostatic potential on these devices [18-22]. On the other hand, such works can be devoted to realizing bioinspired detectors (e.g. the human eye) and to fabricate synthetic single-photon systems such as superconductor nanowire detectors and avalanche photodiodes [23].

This research aims at theoretical and computational studying of changes of pH indicators ranges by change of temperature, pressure, ionic strength of solvent, substituent groups and isotopic type of constituent atoms. The results of this research can be used in the design of favorable indicators and suitable and predictable conditions for implementation and synthesis of pH-sensitive polymers and sensory materials.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

In this study, first, the structures of two types of indicators including methyl-red and methyl-orange, in forms of azo, hydrazone (acidic modes) and base (base mode) were mapped using GaussView program and then fully optimized in Gaussian 03 program package using B3LYP/6-31++G\*\* level of theory [24]. The optimized molecular structures of indicators in various forms are displayed in Fig. 1 .Vibrational frequency calculations were performed at the same level of theory on the optimized structures to ensure the stability of structures and to calculate thermodynamic quantities. In acidic form, substituent element of carboxyl in methyl-red and sulfonyl hydroxide in methyl-orange are protonated and in basic form, they are deprotonated. The hydrazone form is tautomer of the azo form in the acidic mode. The results of vibrational frequencies calculations indicate that all studied structures contain real vibrational frequencies or number of zero negative imaginary frequency (NIMAG=0).



Fig. 1 The optimized molecular structures of a) methyl red and b) methyl orange indicators in the forms of 1) azo 2) hydrazone 3) base obtained at the B3LYP/6-31++G\*\* level of theory

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The purpose of this research is to investigate the effect of physical factors on pH range of color change of indicators; therefore, all thermodynamic studies were conducted under different conditions i.e. temperature, pressure, different solvents and change of the isotopic type of carbon, oxygen, hydrogen and nitrogen atoms of the studied indicators ( ${}^{12}C \rightarrow {}^{13}C$ ,  ${}^{16}O \rightarrow {}^{18}O$ ,  ${}^{1}H \rightarrow {}^{2}H$  and  ${}^{14}N \rightarrow {}^{15}N$ ).

In order to evaluate the relative stability of various acidic states of indicators, first, the following tautomeric equilibrium is discussed.

$$Azo \Leftrightarrow Hydrazone \tag{1}$$

The thermodynamic quantities,  $\Delta H_{\rm T}$ ,  $\Delta S_{\rm T}$ ,  $\Delta G_{\rm T}$  and  $K_{\rm T}$  for tautomeric equilibrium (1) are calculated as follows:

$$\Delta H_{\rm T} = H_{\rm (Hy)} - H_{\rm (Azo)} \tag{2}$$

$$\Delta S_{\rm T} = S_{\rm (Hy)} - S_{\rm (Azo)} \tag{3}$$

$$\Delta G_{\rm T} = G_{\rm (Hy)} - G_{\rm (Azo)} \tag{4}$$

 $K_{\rm T} = \exp[-\Delta G_{\rm T} / RT] \tag{5}$ 

Where *H* is enthalpy, *S* is entropy, *G* is Gibbs free energy for each structure,  $\Delta H_T$  is enthalpy change,  $\Delta S_T$  is entropy change and  $\Delta G_T$  is Gibbs free energy change for the desired tautomeric equilibrium (Eq. 1) and  $K_T$  is its equilibrium constant .All of the thermodynamic quantities are calculated at various temperature (273.15, 298.15 and 323.15 K), pressure (1, 5 and 10 atm), and solvents (water, ethanol and benzene) and are reported in Table 1 and Table 2.

**Table 1.** Thermodynamic quantities  $\Delta H_T$ ,  $T\Delta S_T$  and  $\Delta G_T$  in kcal/mol and equilibrium constants for the azohydrazone tautomery equilibrium of methyl red and methyl orange,  $K_T$ , in the phase gas and the different pressures and temperatures, respectively in K and atm obtained at the B3LYP/6-31++G\*\* level of theory

			met	hyl red		methyl orange			
P	Τ	$\Delta H_{\rm T}$	$T \Delta S_{\mathrm{T}}$	$\Delta \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{T}}$	K <sub>T</sub>	$\Delta H_{\mathrm{T}}$	$T \Delta S_{\mathrm{T}}$	$\Delta \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{T}}$	K <sub>T</sub>
1	273.15	32.497	-2.408	34.905	1.175′10 <sup>-28</sup>	28.557	0.505	28.052	3.577'10 <sup>-23</sup>
5	273.15	32.497	-2.404	34.901	1.183'10 <sup>-28</sup>	28.557	0.411	28.416	3.008'10 <sup>-23</sup>
10	273.15	32.497	-2.404	34.902	1.183'10 <sup>-28</sup>	28.557	0.412	28.145	3.021'10 <sup>-23</sup>
1	298.15	32.459	-2.668	35.127	1.177′10 <sup>-26</sup>	28.525	0.429	28.097	2.527'10-21
5	298.15	32.458	-2.668	35.127	1.177'10 <sup>-26</sup>	28.525	0.399	28.126	2.407'10 <sup>-21</sup>
10	298.15	32.459	-2.668	35.127	1.177'10 <sup>-26</sup>	28.525	0.418	28.107	2.486'10 <sup>-21</sup>
1	323.15	32.424	-2.930	35.354	1.225′10 <sup>-24</sup>	28.493	0.418	28.075	1.025'10-19
5	323.15	32.423	-2.927	35.350	1.225′10 <sup>-24</sup>	28.493	0.431	28.062	1.047′10 <sup>-19</sup>
10	323.15	32.424	-2.930	35.354	1.225′10 <sup>-24</sup>	28.493	0.450	28.043	1.079′10 <sup>-19</sup>

**Table 2.** Thermodynamic quantities  $\Delta H_T$ ,  $T\Delta S_T$  and  $\Delta G_T$  in kcal/mol and equilibrium constants for the azohydrazone tautomery equilibrium of methyl red and methyl orange,  $K_T$ , in the different solvents obtained at the B3LYP/6-31++G\*\* level of theory

		met	hyl red		methyl orange				
solvent	$\Delta H_{\mathrm{T}}$	$T \Delta S_{\mathrm{T}}$	$\Delta \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathrm{T}}$	K <sub>T</sub>		$\Delta H_{\mathrm{T}}$	$T \Delta S_{\mathrm{T}}$	$\Delta \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{T}}$	K <sub>T</sub>
Benzene	22.701	-0.447	23.148	1.073′10-		11.678	0.893	10.785	1.241′10 <sup>-8</sup>
Ethanol	9.785	-0.388	10.173	3.400′10-8		5.621	0.448	5.173	1.613′10-4
Water	8.707	-0.312	9.018	2.440′10-7		4.984	0.323	4.661	1.408′10-4

 $\Delta H_{\rm T}$  is positive for methyl-red and methyl-orange indicators under different conditions of temperature and pressure, and in the gas phase. Compared to methyl-orange,  $\Delta H_{\rm T}$  values are larger for methyl-red.  $\Delta H_{\rm T}$  decreases when temperature increases, which is obvious due to the endothermic process.  $\Delta H_{\rm T}$  does not depend on pressure.  $\Delta H_{\rm T}$  decrease considerably for the indicators in the solvated form.  $\Delta S_{\rm T}$  is negative for methyl-red but positive for methyl-orange. Methyl-red  $\Delta S_{\rm T}$  does not depend on pressure. As expected for intra-molecular processes,  $\Delta S_{\rm T}$  values are very small for tautomeric equilibrium process, which is consistent with the experimental results reported by Gilli et al [25].

The results show that, in all cases, the azo form of methyl-red and methyl-orange indicators is more stable, compared to their hydrazone form. The difference in stability is greater for methyl-orange than for methyl-red (tautomeric equilibrium constant for methyl-orange is larger than for methyl-red).

In all cases, with increased temperature,  $\Delta G_{\rm T}$  and  $K_{\rm T}$  increases. For each indicator, tautomeric equilibrium constant increases by about 100 times with the temperature increase to 25 K. Tautomeric equilibrium constant may either change slightly or remain stable with the pressure.

In this study, Conductor – like Polarizable Continuum Model (CPCM) is used to consider the effect of solvent. The method is derived from the Onsager Continuum Model and formulated by Tomasi et al .In the model, the solvent is considered as a continuous environment with a uniform dielectric constant, inside which the soluble remains as a spherical cavity. Molecule polarity can create bipolarity in environment, and the electric field created by solvent polarity can interact with the soluble molecule bipolarity, which results in system stability [26,27].

The obtained results from calculations in various solvents indicate that with increased solvent polarity (increase of dielectric constant of solvent),  $K_{\rm T}$  also increases. For example, tautomeric equilibrium con-

stant of methyl red in water is 10 times the equilibrium constant in ethanol.

Generally, according to the results reported in Table 1 and Table 2, it is concluded that, compared to hydrazone form, the azo form is more stable for the studied indicators. Therefore, in the study of the equilibrium of acid and base states of the indicators, only azo form of acid state is considered.

In the equilibrium reaction (Eq. 7), the equilibrium constant between acid and base states of indicators,  $K_{a}$ , and the relationship between equilibrium constant and Gibbs free energy change for the desired equilibrium,  $\Delta G_{a}$ , are written as follows:

$$HIn \Leftrightarrow H^+ + In^- \tag{6}$$

$$K_{a} = \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] \times \left[\mathrm{In}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HIn}\right]} \tag{7}$$

$$\Delta G_{\rm a} = -RT \ln(K_{\rm a}) \tag{8}$$

Enthalpy change,  $\Delta H_a$ , entropy change,  $\Delta S_a$ , Gibbs free energy change,  $\Delta G_a$ , and equilibrium constant of ionization process of indicator,  $K_a$ , are calculated for the equilibrium reaction (Eq. 6) under different conditions and are reported in Tables 3, 4 and 5.

The reported results in Tables 3, 4 and 5 shows that  $\Delta H_a$  is positive in all conditions, i.e. the ionization process of indicators is endothermic. As the temperature increases under constant pressure,  $\Delta H_a$  increases, and (at constant temperature) under increasing pressure, it remains constant; that is,  $\Delta H_a$  does not depend on pressure. Ionization processes of indicators are less endothermic in dissolved state (in water, ethanol and benzene solvents, compared to gas phase,  $\Delta H_a$  contains less value).  $\Delta H_a$  decreases with the increase of solvents polarity (in water,  $\Delta H_a$  is less than ethanol, and in ethanol, it is less than benzene).  $\Delta H_a$  increases with considering of isotopic effect of constituent atoms in all cases.

			met	thyl red			met	hyl orange	
Р	Т	$\Delta H_{a}$	$T \Delta S_{a}$	$\Delta G_{a}$	Ka	$\Delta H_{a}$	$T \Delta S_{a}$	$\Delta G_{\mathrm{a}}$	Ka
1	273.15	339.145	6.013	333.132	exp(-613.786)	316.053	6.228	309.825	exp(-570.844)
5	273.15	339.145	5.144	334.001	exp(-615.388)	316.053	5.355	310.697	exp(-572.450)
10	273.15	339.145	4.764	334.379	exp(-616.087)	316.053	4.980	311.073	exp(-573.143)
1	298.15	339.237	6.662	332.576	exp(-561.381)	316.115	6.864	309.250	exp(-522.009)
5	298.15	339.237	5.707	333.531	exp(-562.990)	316.115	5.911	310.204	exp(-523.618)
10	298.15	339.237	5.297	333.940	exp(-563.687)	316.115	5.500	310.615	exp(-524.312)
1	323.15	339.327	7.312	332.016	exp(-517.078)	316.175	7.503	308.673	exp(-480.725)
5	323.15	339.327	6.279	333.049	exp(-518.686)	316.175	6.469	309.707	exp(-482.355)
10	323.15	339.327	5.835	333.493	exp(-519.377)	316.175	6.024	310.150	exp(-483.003)

**Table 3.** Thermodynamic quantities  $\Delta H_a$ ,  $T\Delta S_a$  and  $\Delta G_a$  in kcal/mol and equilibrium constants,  $K_a$ , for the indicators dissociation equilibrium of methyl red and methyl orange in the gas phase and the different pressures and temperatures, respectively in K and atm obtained at the B3LYP/6-31++G\*\* level of theory

**Table 4.** Thermodynamic quantities  $\Delta H_a$ ,  $T\Delta S_a$  and  $\Delta G_a$  in kcal/mol and equilibrium constants,  $K_a$ , for the indicators dissociation equilibrium of methyl red and methyl orange in the different solvents obtained at the

		n	nethyl red		methyl orange			
solvent	$\Delta H_{a}$	$T \Delta S_{a}$	$\Delta \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{a}}$	Ka	$\Delta H_{a}$	$T \Delta S_{a}$	$\Delta G_{a}$	Ka
Benzene	250.095	7.459	242.635	exp(-409.862)	230.275	7.300	222.972	exp(-376.378)
Ethanol	183.095	8.627	174.577	exp(-294.682)	166.267	8.845	157.422	exp(-265.726)
Water	178.449	8.489	169.959	exp(-286.889)	161.760	8.758	152.999	exp(-258.260)

**Table 5.** Thermodynamic quantities  $\Delta H_a$ ,  $T\Delta S_a$  and  $\Delta G_a$  in kcal/mol and equilibrium constants,  $K_a$ , for the indicators dissociation equilibrium of methyl red and methyl orange with change of isotopic type of constit-

		me	thyl red			methyl orange			
Isotope	$\Delta H_{a}$	$T \Delta S_{a}$	$\Delta G_{a}$	Ka	$\Delta H_{a}$	$T \Delta S_{a}$	$\Delta \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{a}}$	Ka	
<sup>13</sup> C	339.259	6.664	332.595	exp(-561.414)	317.908	6.413	311.494	exp(-525.796)	
<sup>18</sup> O	339.254	6.658	332.595	exp(-561.414)	316.493	6.609	309.884	exp(-523.078)	
<sup>2</sup> H	341.447	7.075	334.373	exp(-564.415)	318.438	7.174	311.264	exp(-525.407)	
<sup>15</sup> N	339.238	6.660	332.578	exp(-572.627)	316.245	6.834	309.410	exp(-522.278)	

 $T\Delta S_{a}$  is positive in all studied conditions. Considering the fact that the number of process components in products is higher than raw materials, such conclusion is logical and correct.  $T\Delta S_{a}$  increases at higher temperature under constant pressure and it decreases at constant temperature under higher pressure.

 $\Delta G_{\rm a}$  is positive in all conditions, i.e. ionization process of indicators is non-spontaneous. Results show that  $\Delta G_{\rm a}$  decreases with increasing temperature under constant pressure and it increases with increasing pressure at constant temperature. Therefore, it is concluded that high temperature and low-pressure condi-

tions are favorable for the reaction. Comparison of  $\Delta G_a$  values with  $\Delta H_a$  and  $T\Delta S_a$  values indicate that acid ionization of indicators is controlled by entropy agent (entropy of the process) and  $\Delta G_a$  values in solvents phase are much smaller than in gas phase (in view of the interactions of soluble and solvent in the CPCM model, the species become more stable, especially the pregnant species). So, it is expected in the real and experimental conditions;  $\Delta G_a$  values will be smaller. As a result, high-polarity solvents are another favorable condition for ionization process of indicators.  $\Delta G_a$  increases with isotopic change of the constituent atoms of the indicator.

Due to the stability of acid mode, compared to base,  $K_a$  values are very small in all conditions. The obtained results indicate that ionization equilibrium constant was larger at high temperature and low-pressure conditions and in the solution phase, especially in the polar solvents. The isotopic effect decreased  $K_a$  values in all cases.

To investigate the changes in pH range of color change of indicators, resulted from different physical factors (temperature, pressure, solvent and isotopic), it is assumed that the concentration ratio of [In<sup>-</sup>]/

[HIn] is constant (the ratio of [In<sup>-</sup>]/[HIn] is limit ratio of the required concentrations for observation of color change of indicators.) and [H<sup>+</sup>] concentration changes in different conditions proportional to  $K_a$ . Regarding the relationship between pH and [H<sup>+</sup>] concentration, changes in pH range of color change,  $\Delta$ pH, can be calculated based on the following relationships:

$$K_{a1} = \frac{[H^+]_1[In^-]}{[HIn]}$$
(9)

$$K_{a2} = \frac{[\mathrm{III}]_{2}[\mathrm{III}]}{[\mathrm{HIn}]} \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{[\mathrm{H}^+]_2}{[\mathrm{H}^+]_1} = \frac{K_{\mathrm{a}2}}{K_{\mathrm{a}1}}$$
(11)

$$\Delta pH = pH_2 - pH_1 \qquad pH_2 = -\log[H^+]_2 \quad \text{and}$$
$$pH_1 = -\log[H^+]_1 \qquad (12)$$

$$\Delta p H = -\log \frac{\left[H^{+}\right]_{2}}{\left[H^{+}\right]_{1}} = -\log \frac{K_{a2}}{K_{a1}}$$
(13)

**Table 6.** The changes in pH of color change of indicators in the gas phase resulted from change of pressure obtained at the different temperatures and the  $B3LYP/6-31++G^{**}$  level of theory

∆рН		methyl red			methyl orange			
	273.15 K	298.15 K	323.15 K	273.15 K	298.15 K	323.15 K		
1 atm $\rightarrow$ 5 atm	0.696	0.698	0.698	0.698	0.699	0.699		
5 atm $\rightarrow$ 10 atm	0.303	0.300	0.300	0.301	0.301	0.301		

**Table 7.** The changes in pH of color change of indicators in the gas phase resulted from change of temperature obtained at the different pressures and the B3LYP/6-31++G\*\* level of theory

ΔnH		methyl red		methyl orange			
дри	1 atm	5 atm	10 atm	1 atm	5 atm	10 atm	
273.15 K→ 298.15 K	-22.759	-22.756	-22.760	-21.209	-21.207	-21.207	
298.15 K→ 323.15 K	-19.241	-19.241	-19.242	-17.929	-17.907	-17.928	

Table 8. The changes in pH of color	change of indicators in the so	olution resulted from change	of solvent ob-
tained at the B3LYP/6-31++G** level	of theory		

∆рН	methyl red	methyl orange
Ethanol → Benzene	50.022	48.056
Water $\rightarrow$ Ethanol	3.384	3.242

**Table 9.** The changes in pH of color change of indicators in the gas phase resulted from change of isotopic type of constituent atoms obtained at the B3LYP/ $6-31++G^{**}$  level of theory

∆pH	methyl red	methyl orange
$^{12}C \rightarrow ^{13}C$	0.014	1.645
$^{16}\mathrm{O} \rightarrow {}^{18}\mathrm{O}$	0.014	0.464
${}^{1}\mathrm{H} \rightarrow {}^{2}\mathrm{H}$	1.318	1.476
$^{14}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow {}^{15}\mathrm{N}$	4.884	0.117

The results reported in Table 6 show that, the increase of pressure from 1 atm to 5 atm for both types of indicators at any temperature, increased the pH of color change to 0.7, and the increase of pressure from 5 atm to 10 atm, increased the pH of color change to 0.3 and shows that the effect of pressure on  $\Delta$ pH is low under high pressures and is not dependent on the indicator type.

The results obtained in Table 7 show that the increase of temperature decrease the pH of color change of indicators. This drop is approximately similar at various pressures. For methyl-red and methyl-orange indicators, the temperature change of 273.15 K ® 298.15 K was -22.8 and -21.2, respectively, and for 298.15 K ® 323.15 K, it was -19.2 and -17.9, respectively.

Considering of the indicators in the solvents, the results are more consistent with experimental samples. The results reported in Table 8 show that, changing the solvent from water to ethanol, pH of color change of indicators varies by 3.4 for methyl-red and by 3.2 for methyl-orange, and, changing the solvent from ethanol to benzene, the change increases to about 50 and 48.

The results reported in Table 9 show that use of isotopes produced the increase in the pH of color change of the indicators that their values depend on the type of atom and type of the indicator.

# CONCLUSIONS

Initially, the structures of two types of azo indicators including methyl-red and methyl-orange, in the states of acidic (azo and hydrazone forms) and base were optimized in Gaussian 03 program package using  $B3LYP/6-31++G^{**}$  level of theory.

Vibrational frequency calculations were performed on optimized structures to ensure the stability of structures and to calculate thermodynamic values. The results from vibrational frequency calculations show that all the studied structures have real vibration frequencies or zero NIMAG.

The computational results on the tautomeric equilibrium of the azo and the hydrazone forms of the indicators indicate that  $\Delta H_{\rm T}$  in the gas phase is positive for the indicators under different temperature and pressure conditions.  $\Delta H_{\rm T}$  values for methyl-red are larger than for methyl-orange.  $\Delta H_{\rm T}$  decreases with increasing temperature and it does not depend on pressure.  $\Delta S_{\rm T}$  is negative for methyl-red and positive for methyl-orange. Methyl-red  $\Delta S_{\rm T}$  is not dependent on pressure. In all cases,  $\Delta G_{\rm T}$  and  $K_{\rm T}$  increase with increase of the temperature. With increased solvent polarity (increase of solvent dielectric constant),  $\Delta S_{\rm T}$   $\Delta G_{\rm T}$  and  $K_{\rm T}$  also decrease and increase, respectively. The results show that, in all cases, the azo form of the indicators is more stable than their hydrazone. This stability difference is greater for methyl-orange, compared to methyl-red. Therefore, in the study and calculations of the acid-base equilibrium of the indicators, only azo form is considered. In all cases,  $\Delta H_a$ has a positive value. It increases with increased temperature under stable pressure, and at constant temperature conditions, with increasing pressure, it stays constant; that is, it does not depend on pressure.  $\Delta H_a$ decreases with increasing solvent polarity (its value is less in water than in ethanol and less in ethanol than in benzene).  $\Delta H_a$  increases with change of isotope type of constituent atoms of the indicators.  $T\Delta S_a$ values are positive in all studied conditions. They increase with increasing temperature under constant pressure conditions and decrease with increasing pressure at constant temperature.  $\Delta G_a$  values are positive for all cases. The results show that  $\Delta G_a$  values decrease with increasing temperature under constant pressure and increase with increasing pressure at constant temperature. Therefore, it is concluded that high temperature and low-pressure conditions are favorable for the reaction .The isotope effect increases  $\Delta G_{a}$ values. Due to the stability of acidic forms compared to base forms,  $K_a$  values are very small in all conditions. The obtained values indicate that the equilibrium constant of ionization is higher in the conditions of high temperatures, low pressures, polar environment and non-use of isotopes of constructive atoms.

Increased temperature causes pH of color change of indicators to decrease. This drop is approximately similar in various pressures and, for methyl-red and methyl-orange indicators, at the change in temperature from 273.15 K  $\circledast$  298.15 K, it is -22.8 and -21.2, respectively, and at a change in temperature from 298.15 K  $\circledast$  323.15 K, it is -19.2 and -17.9. Increasing pressure from 1 atm  $\circledast$ 5 atm at any temperature for both types of indicators results in the increase of pH of color change by 0.7 and from 5 atm  $\circledast$ 10 atm it results in the increase of pH of color change by 0.3; that is, the effect of pressure on  $\Delta$ pH is lower under higher pressures and is not dependent on the indicator type. By changing the solvent from water to ethanol, pH of color change of indicators,  $\Delta$ pH, varies by 3.4

for methyl-red and by 3.2 for methyl-orange, and by changing the ethanol to benzene, its value increases by about 50 and 48. The use of isotopes produce the increase in the pH of color change of the indicators that their value depend on the type of atom and type of the indicator.

The results of this research can help and guide the identification of favorable indicators, to predict pH of their color change in different conditions. Also, these results can act as the basis for future research on the interaction of dye molecules and polymers used on fabric base. For example, using halochromic properties in the color of polymers or textiles can produce sensory materials. These textile sensors are used in the production of wound dressing. They maintain all the features of the texture, are flexible and can be used on large surfaces, and can communicate warning messages by a color change at a particular point.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. De Meyer, K. Hemelsoet, V. Van Speybroeck, K. De Clerck, Dyes Pigm. 2014, 102, 241. <u>View</u> <u>Article</u>
- [2] H. Zollinger, Color chemistry: synthesis, properties, and applications of organic dyes and pigments. VHCA en WILEY-VCH, 2003.
- [3] S. Kim, Functional dyes. Elsevier, 2006.
- [4] P. Bamfield, Chromic phenomena, technological applications of colour chemistry, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2001.
- [5] M. Domínguez, M. Caroli Rezende, J. Iran. Chem. Soc. 2010, 7, 995. View Article
- [6] S.N. Kovalenko, V.P. Chuev, V.M. Nikitchenko, M.M. Asimov, Theor. Exp. Chem. 1990, 26, 264. <u>View Article</u>
- [7] A.V. Kulinich, A.A. Ishchenko, S.L. Bondarev, Theor. Exp. Chem. 2018, 54, 331. <u>View Article</u>
- [8] V.A. Barachevskii, O.I. Kobeleva, T.M. Valova, Theor. Exp. Chem. 2012, 48, 12. <u>View Article</u>
- [9] L.Van der Schueren, K. Hemelsoet, V.Van Speybroeck, K.De Clerck, Dyes Pigm. 2012, 94, 443. <u>View Article</u>
- [10] T. De Meyer, I. Steyaert, K. Hemelsoet, R. Hoogenboom, V. Van Speybroeck, K. De Clerck, Dyes Pigm. 2016, 124, 249. <u>View Article</u>
- [11] L. Zhang, Z. Li, R. Chang, Y. Chen, W. Zhang,

React. Funct. Polym. 2009, 69, 234. View Article

- [12] M. Hosseini, R. Heydari, M. Alimoradi, Spectrochim. Acta A 2014, 128, 864. PMid:24709351 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [13] L. Hermosilla, M. Caroli Rezende, V. Gageiro Machado, R.I. Stock, Spectrochim. Acta A 2017, 173, 556. PMid:27780125 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [14] A. Ghanadzadeh Gilani, V. Taghvaei, E. Moradi Rufchahi, M. Mirzaei, Spectrochim. Acta A 2017, 185, 111. PMid:28551448 <u>View Arti-</u> <u>cle</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [15] M. Rosaria Plutino, E. Guido, C. Colleoni, G. Rosace, Sens. Actuators B 2017, 238, 281. <u>View</u> <u>Article</u>
- [16] J. Griffiths, R. Cox, Dyes Pigm. 2000, 47, 65. 00064-4 <u>View Article</u>
- [17] Y. Zilberman, S. Kabiri Ameri, S.R. Sonkusale, Sens. Actuators B 2014, 194, 404. <u>View Article</u>
- [18] X. Zhou, T. Zifer, B.M. Wong, K.L. Krafcik, F. Léonard, A.L. Vance, Nano Lett. 2009, 9,1028 PMid:19206226 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/</u> <u>NCBI</u>
- [19] C. Huang, R.K. Wang, B.M. Wong, D.J. McGee, F. Léonard, Y. Jun Kim, K.F. Johnson, M. S. Arnold, M.A. Eriksson, P. Gopalan, ACS Nano 2011, 5, 7767. PMid:21919456 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [20] Y. Zhao, C. Huang, M. Kim, B.M. Wong, F. Léonard, P. Gopalan, M.A. Eriksson, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2013, 2, 9355. PMid:24060382 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>
- [21] C. Huang, M. Kim, B.M. Wong, N.S. Safron, M.S. Arnold, P. Gopalan, J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 2077. <u>View Article</u>
- [22] P.S. Johnson, C. Huang, M. Kim, N.S. Safron,

M.S. Arnold, B.M. Wong, P. Gopalan, F.J. Himpsel, Langmuir 2014, 30, 2559. PMid:24520997 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/</u> <u>NCBI</u>

- [23] F. Léonard, M.E. Foster, C.D. Spataru, Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 3268. PMid:30824712 View Article PubMed/NCBI
- [24] M.J. Frisch, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, G.E. Scuseria, M.A. Robb, J.R. Cheeseman, J.A. Montgomery Jr., T. Vreven, K.N. Kudin, J.C. Burant, J.M. Millam, S.S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G.A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J.E. Knox, H.P. Hratchian, J.B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R.E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A.J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J.W. Ochterski, P.Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G.A. Voth, P. Salvador, J.J. Dannenberg, V.G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A.D. Daniels, M.C. Strain, O. Farkas, D.K. Malick, A.D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J.B. Foresman, J.V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A.G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B.B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R.L. Martin, D.J. Fox, T. Keith, M.A. Al-Laham, C.Y. Peng, A. Nanavakkara, M. Challacombe, P.M.W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M.W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J.A. Pople, Gaussian 03, Revision C.02, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2004.
- [25] P. Gilli, V. Bertolasi, L. Pretto, L. Antonov, G. Gilli, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4943.
  PMid:15796560 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/</u> <u>NCBI</u>
- [26] J. Tomasi, M. Persico, Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2027. <u>View Article</u>
- [27] M. Cossi, N. Rega, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, J. Comput. Chem. 2003, 24, 669. PMid:12666158 <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed/NCBI</u>

#### SIFT DESK JOURNALS

Email: info@siftdesk.org